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Ugalde (left) and C. Sitzwohl mix it up with electric rapier and dagger during an Athletic Duelling tt at the Forest Park Community College in St. Louis, Mo., as Duelling Master Emil Stanley St licer directs. The duelling "terrain" is circular and 20 feet in diameter. See story on page 14
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## EDITORIAL

"No anonymous articles accepted". This statement is clearly stated on this page in every issue of "American Fencing". Some time ago we received an article signed by "Harry Blade". Since the author was a member of the AFLA whose real name we knew, we advised him that we'd publish his article, but under his own name only. This was agreed to and done.
Recently we received a "letter to the editor" which was extremely critical (not constructive criticism) of the AFLA. The author, whose typewritten name was "Victor Janus", claimed to be "a fencer of considerable competitive experience and accomplishment, well known in the fencing fraternity and a noted professional in athletics". The return address on the envelope was "General Delivery", Anaheim, California.

The name was strange to us and inasmuch as there was no record of a "Victor Janus" in the AFLA's roster, we wrote to Mr. Janus at the address given requesting some background information to support his claims. There was no response and after three weeks we sent a second letter. Eventually both of our letters were returned by the Post Office, marked "unclaimed- undeliverable". We assume that the author of that letter reads American Fencing, and hereby suggest that he or she come out of hiding. We will not publish "anonymous" letters nor any using a "nom de Plume".


## CONGRATULATIONS

American Fencing extends its felicitations to Anne Marie Williams of Brooklyn College's varsity team and to Frank Walters on the occasion of their marriage on August 14. The happy couple will be attending the indiana State University in Terre Haute, Indiana, studying for their graduate degrees.

# 1976 OLYMPICS CAPSULE REPORT 

by Richard Gradkowski

The 1976 Olympics saw a number of dramatic upsets which, in my opinion, signalled some profound changes in international fencing. A junior Italian fresh from a Bronze medal in Poznan, won the Olympic Foil championship ahead of four experienced French and Soviet fencers. The German and Italian Foil teams ousted the French and Russians from their perennial spots to take the Gold and Silver medals, and even the British stepped into the top ranks by taking sixth.

In the saber events, the Hungarian decline of the past few years continued without a single representative in the individual final, and the Hungarian saber team was whipped by Romania 9-4 to fall out of the money to fourth place. Cuba's sabre team advanced to the fifth spot in world ranking, over Poland, while the U.S. squad again slipped down, out of the rankings. In Epee, Sweden, Germany, and Switzerland dominated, and in the Women's event the French women took over the Silver medal spot from the Socialist grip. This increasing competitive pressure on the Socialist countries will, I am sure, stimulate renewed efforts by them to regain their dominance of the past years. They have the wherewithall to do it, and we can expect the level of international competitive fencing to rise even higher.

The U.S. Olympic team gave another dis appointing performance and, overall, did not perform as well as the 1975 World Championship team in Budapest. While the Foil and Sabre men did a little better individually, the Epee men and Women's team did much worse. The Foil and Epee teams were not able to demonstrate any improvement. The quick elimination of many of our competitors right in the first round showed that they were not properly prepared. American fencers must train more seriously if they are to merit participation in future international competition.

## RESULTS

Fofl: 1. Fabio Dal Zotto, Italy; 2. Alexander Romankov, USSR; 3. Bernard Talvard, France 4. Vasili Stankovich, USSR; 5. Frederic Pietruska, France; 6. Gregory Benko, Australia.

For the U.S., Marty Lang was elimi the second round, Ed Ballinger in $t$ round, and Ed Donofrio reached the dir in a classic and cool style, and met his o on their own level.
EPEE: 1. Alexander Pusch, Germany; 2 Hehn, Germany; 3. Gyozo Kulscar, Hu Istvan Ostrics, Hungary; 5. Jerzy Jal Poland; 6. Rolf Edling, sweden.
For the U.S., Scott Bozek, Brooke Mal George Masin were eliminated in the fir: WOMENS FoIL: 1. lidiko Schwarzenberg gary, 2. Consolata Comno, Naly; 3. Eleni Hamisch, Germany: 5 . Ildiko Bobis, For the U.S., Sheila Armstrong Ann 0 nd Nikki Franke were eliminated in round.
SABRE: 1. Victor Krovopuskov, USSR; 2.
Nazlymov, USSR; 3. Victor Sidiak, l Ion Pop, Romania; 5. Mario Aldo Italy; 6. Michele Maffei, Italy.
For the U.S., Steve Kaplan made the round and Peter Westbrook and Paul reached the round of sixteen.
FOIL TEAM: 1. Germany; 2. Italy; 3. FI USSR; 5. Poland; 6. Great Britain. The U.S.A. defeated Iran 12-4, Hor 15-1, and lost to Poland 9-2.

In the direct elimination Great Britain 8-7 (the last bout was not fenced, as EPEE TEAM 1 , touches
land; 4. Hungary; 5. USSR. 6 . Re 3. The U.S.A. defeated Thialand 9-4, anc Romania $10-5$ and the USSR 12-4, to g the first round.
wOMEN'S TEAM: 1. USSR; 2. France; 3
4. Germany; 5. Italy; 6. Poland.

The U.S.A. defeated Iran 11.5 and lost 14-2 and Great Britain 9-7 (after leas British team $6-2$ at the halfway point). SABRE TEAM: 1. USSR; 2. Haly; 3. F
4. Hungary; 5. Cuba; 6. Poland.

The U.S.A. deleated Canada 9-7 and los 9-3. In the direct eliminations the USSR 9-1 and Poland beat us 9-3.

## CONTRIBUTORS PLEASE NI

Articles, results of competitions, to the editor, photos, and cartoc cordially solicited. All manuscripts r typewritten double spaced, with wic gins, on one side of the paper only. should be glossy finished, as large a ible ( $8 \times 10$ inches, if possible) at a complete caption. Anonymous artic not be accepted.

## AMATEUR FENCERS LEAGUE OF AMERICA, INC.

## Annual Meeting

The 1976 Annual Meeting of the AFLA was held on July 2, 1976 at the Cherry Hill Inn in Cherry Hill, New Jersey. Stephen Sobel, President, called the meeting to order at 4:15 PM. Present were Irwin Bernstein, Secretary; Howard Goodman, Treasurer; approximately 20 members in person and 25 members by proxy.

## A. Minutes of the Previous Meeting

The minutes of the June 24,1975 meeting had previously been published in AMERICAN FENCING July/August 1975. The Secretary read excerpts from the minutes because of the continuing interest in some of the issues raised at that meeting. The minutes were then accepted as published.

## B. OFFICERS REPORTS

A financial report for the 9 months end ing April 30, 1976 having been submitted to the Board at its meeting on July 2, there were no officers reports at this meeting.

## C. By-Laws

There were no amendments to the bylaws.
D. Elections

In the absence of contesting nominations by petition, the Secretary cast one vote for the slate proposed by the National Nominating Committee, thereby electing the following for the term August 1, 1976July 31, 1978.

## President: Irwin F. Bernstein

Executive Vice-President: William A. Goering

## Vice-Presiden:t Mary T. Huddleson

Vice-President: Manny Forrest
Secretary: Eleanor Turney
Treasurer: Howard Goodman

## E. Unfinished Business

There was no unfinished business.

## F. New Business

There was no formal new business. In keeping with the practice of the past few years, the balance of the meeting was devoted to an informal discussion of matters of concern to the membership with the purpose of advising the officers and members
of the Board of Directors of these concerns while informing the members of current policies. Among the topics covered were:

1. National team championships
a. There was considerable discussion about further liberalization of team elig ibility rules (since last September, Division Composites are permitted in Nationals under specific conditions), including allowing multiple teams from the same club. At the same time, the increasing difficulty of holding the growing tournament was pointed out.
b. The question of teams of 4 instead of 3 was again raised. Here too, although our internationalists would clearly benefit, the length of team events would increase considerably. In addition, there is the possibility that teams of four would serve to widen the spread between stronger and weaker clubs.
2. The need for clearer and more timely dissemination of rules changes to the membership was cited.
3. Directing
a. The urgent need for developing Class 2 examiners in each section was discussed. As long as examiners must come from a central area, their availability to attend section events will be impaired by time and travel expense considerations.
b. Should we have a new classification between 2 and 3 so that examiners can award a 2 without concern over having certified a director for use in advanced rounds of the Nationals before that director is fully competent for such levels? It was mentioned that classification alone is not automatic entree to advanced levels of directing; the director must still gradually demonstrate competence in order to gain acceptance by the top fencers as well as the confidence of the Bout Committee; therefore we probably do not need additional layers.
c. An offer from Canada for reciprocal use of directors in major championships was reported. The US Commission on Fencing Rules and Officials will investigate the idea.
d. Re-examination of directors was discussed. The FIE has undertaken a project in this area and we plan to follow 'with one as well.
e. The membership was informed of new FIE regulations for mandatory supplying of qualified FIE directors by countries entering full teams in major international events, with financial penalties for failure to comply. An attempt will be made to budget for sending officials overseas. f. The suggestion that the National Un der-20 (Junior Olympics) be used each year as a Class 2 examination site was referred to the Commission. In view of the development of this event as a true national championships it would appear logical.
g. Concern was expressed over the very casual dress of our directors at the nationals, particularly in semi-finals and finals when the public is likely to attend. It would seem appropriate in these rounds for officials to be better dressed, particularly when officials are not drawn from among fencers just completing their competition.
4. Scheduling of National Championships

There was discussion of the sequence of events as well as the overall scheduling. The sequence is based on many elements of which potential for weapon doubling and availability of strips are probably most important. With regard to the dates, a survey was conducted at the nationals, indicating that an earlier week in June might be appropriate since it would give our internationalists more time before the world championships and might not be inconvenient to too many others.

The Philadelphia Division was thanked for its efforts as host of the 1976 Nationals and for its innovative scheduling.

The Board of Directors will ining the question of disconti Under-19 events at the natior retaining the splendid Under. over Washington Birthday wee
G. The meeting was adjourned si 5:35 PM.
Respectfully submitted,
Irwin F. Bernstein
Secretary

## CONGRATULATIONS

American Fencing extends its be to Susan McCourt on the occasic marriage to Dan Badders, May 1 it England. The happy couple met in College as students.

## STANDARD FOILS IN MAR' by Eaton E. Bayor

At this time last year, we w nearly ready to give up competitive at the Goucher College for Women. ited budget could not be stretched the costs of electric scoring apparat less the cost of continual repairs placements to the electrical equip:

Consequently, our team had litt practice with electric foils, and able to compete with colleges bett ped than we were. But we didn't $c$ conducted some inquiries which re: six splendid non-electric foil matcr The Towson State College, St. Ma lege, William and Mary, George Georgetown, and the Harrisburg club. We won some of these matcl some, and lost some; and we pro following rather conclusively:

1. Standard foil fencing is fun.
2. It is inexpensive
3. There is plenty of it.

We wish to thank the fencing listed above for their kindness in us out when we needed it!! It is rei to know that we do have some fri the fencing world.
by Irwin Bernstein


By the time this magazine is received, the AFLA national elections will have been officially concluded, national champions will have been crowned, and our fencing team wil have competed in the Olympic Games. For most members of the AFLA it will be the summer "off-season", but for the AFLA administration it will be a very busy period indeed.

In order to start the 1976-1977 fencing season in an orderly manner, essential committee work will be underway during the summer. When the Board of Directors holds its annual meeting on September 18, in addition to making decisions on our budget, we will be appointing working committees and reviewing the Olympic Selection System for the 1980 Games. Both of these topics require extensive preparation and both also provide an opportunity for meaningful participation.

## Committees

Each year AFLA appoints many committees at the national, sectional and divisional levels. Appointees are usually persons who have worked in league activities before Frequently the same people retain positions for years on end while others are critical of what they do or don't do.
Now is the time to step forward as a volunteer in a local activity in which you are interested and have some competence. You will usually find patience with sincere effort. Your experience may result in some errors or omissions at first but your staying on the sidelines may lead to someone less capable or concerned taking on the job instead. Before you ask what your division is doing for you, ask yourself what contribution you are making in time and effort.

At the national level there are fewer committee positions but there is always a need
for new ideas as well as new people. Even if it is not practical for you to be part of a national committee you can submit your views to aid in developing new programs or procedures or improving existing ones. If you can serve on national committees please let me know.

## Olympic Selection System

The point system used for the selection of the 1975 Pan American and 1976 Olympic teams has met with widespread approval. I have heard very few suggestions that the basic principles of the system should be significantly changed. Even if the current system is continued substantially as is, however, there are a series of difficult policy decisions to be made and in the context of past deficiencies in communication to our members, the need is for prompt, clear, and complete resolution of issues and announcement of guidelines.

Among the items to be considered by a special committee during the summer are: I. Weighting of 1976 Nationals performance and points earned previously in reconstituting the International Squad. The present squad members must be recognized for prior achievements but promising newcomers must have a reasonable way to enter the squad without long delays.
2. Selection of team for 1977 World Championships and other non-Olympic competitions to which we send an official team.
3. Scheduling of squad tryouts. In the se lection cycle that just ended we received substantial funds for athletes travel to tryouts, particularly in 1975 and 1976. Although continuity of these special events would do much to maintain squad cohesiveness and training momentum it may be impractical from
a travel expense standpoint during the first two years of the new cycle
4. Earning of bonus points at International Events. The system should be reviewed with regard to events (e.g. Pan American Games were not included) and levels of achievement (World Championships quarter-finalists were not rewarded) as well as relationship of potential bonus points compared to basic points
5. Size of squad. Flexibility, room for personal injury cases, etc. must be balanced against practical aspects of travel to and holding of tryouts.
6. Personal injury appeals. A filing deadline is needed.
7. Ineligibility of Committee. The current policy of the committee that members would not be eligible for Pan Am or Olympic cadre appointments has been a hallmark of the 1972-1976 committee. It has not only reassured fencers of the committee's impartiality but has also earned our sport considerable respect among the governing bodies of other sports. Since it is a policy however, it is appropriate to review it at this time along with our other policies

Before undertaking the office of President I would like to publicly express my admiration of Steve Sobel for his handling of the job for the past four years and to state my intention to move forward from the strong foundation he has laid for the future progress of the AFLA. If all of our members work for the betterment of fencing in this country there is much we can accomplish. Let this be an occasion for all AFLA members to renew their commitment to our sport.


METROPOLITAN DIVISI TO SUPPORT INTERNATIOA by George G. Masin
The Metropolitan Division wil next season a new program of support for outstanding fencers. with the 1976-77 season a poir will be introduced to select the o in each weapon (epee, foil, se women's foil) who did the bes Metropolitan Division's Opens dc season (there are about seven each weapon each season). The itan Division will pledge $\$ 100.00$ of these fencers toward the trav penses of a trip to fence in a Class "A" competition. If the fe a Metropolitan Division member $d$ season in which he won the mos of points, the Metropolitan Divi pledge an additional $\$ 100.00$ for : $\$ 200.00$.

This pledge of reimbursement of expenses will be valid for four yea fencer does not make use of the $g$ ing this time it is forfeited. If attains the most points in more season, that fencer can combine ti and use them for one trip. Howe grants can not be used retroact competitions the fencer entered d year he attained the most points of ing years.
The fencer will be reimbursed turning from the European competi presenting receipts for transportal lodging. The sum of these receir equal the amount being claimed fencer.

Points will be credited for each tition designated as an "Open" schedule according to placement finals as follows:

| Placement | Poi |
| :---: | ---: |
| 1 | $1 C$ |
| 2 | 7 |
| 3 | 5 |
| 4 | 3 |
| 5 | 2 |
| 6 | 1 |

## SHIBBOLETH <br> by Edward F. Lucia

When I was a young student of fencing there were two slogans that were accepted as "Gospel Truth" in the World of American Fencing -

1. An effective fencing coach had to be trained in Europe.
2. The President of the AFLA had to be an Olympian.

The first Shibboleth has been decently interred for quite some time in all the record books, including the U.S.O.C.
The second Shibboleth has been holding its own and indeed, in the last election for the Presidency, was in rare form.
One day at the termination of practice at City College, the team gathered and questioned me:
"Coach, there is an AFLA election in the near future and there is a candidate named Steve Sobel whom we know as having directed some of our matches but who has no international competitive experience. We understand that you were his coach; can you explain this? How can the President of the AFLA not be an Olympian?"
"Gentlemen, Steve Sobel was my pupil for many years and has become my dear friend. He was most impressive in Prep School. In his senior year, despite a serious disability, he was the undefeated High School champion demonstrating outstanding character, powers of analysis and great intelligence - all this at the age of seventeen."
"He continued to study with me during his college years, became the nemesis of CCNY, and in his senior year won that rare double sabre individual championship: the IFA and the NCAA."
"Since he is one of those very rare pupils who can learn a new action on Friday and win with it on Saturday, he was obviously Olympic material."

My students quietly but thoughtfully departed.

1 should now like to continue this biography for the sake of the record.

Steve continued to study fencing but in a more restrained manner. He had come to the Crossroads: Law School or -? His family being the 'salt of the earth," he decided for the Law and the raising of a charming family. His daughter carries on the fencing tradition.

Steve entered competition and won medals, but now he was in great demand as an official during the major competitions. His great integrity and knowledge of the game, his legal mind and his quiet manner soon solved the thorniest problems. All this under the great pressure of fencers, captains, coaches, audience, "e tutti quanti." This combination was of vital importance for his future. He became involved in AFLA matters, served on committees and was well liked for his courtesy and consideration.
Election time came and Steve was asked to run. He quietly agreed.
Shibboleth! Shibboleth! "No international experience etc. etc."
Steve quietly campaigned on the issues - and won.

Of course there was much turmoil all over the country at this time. It was a Pan American and Olympic period. Fencers were concerned over status, money, etc. Steve now had to pick up the pieces and make it all work. With the help of committees and friends and with the excellent liaison at Olympic House - things happened.
A U.S. Olympic Fencing Committee was formed and many hours of constructive debate resulted in an excellent point system.
For the first time in the history of the Pan Am Games, we received more financial support - three coaches and an excellent cadre were selected.
At one of the first Olympic Trials it gave me exquisite pleasure to inform a malcontent that it was now time to "fence" with blade.

Pan Am is history. An Olympic cadre was now elected. Because of excellent relations with the U.S.O.C. we received more training money, again an unprecedented three coaches and alternates and the same Captain, Keane, Manager, Dr. Valsamis, and Armorer, Du Chaine. A Triumph.

Thus, after four years of unremitting labor and a most understanding wife, Steve Sobel has been appointed to a most prestigious international committee and thus a brilliant future continues to unfold.
(Editors Note: Ed Lucia, fencing master, former Olympic Coach and professor at City College, submitted the above article with this note: "I have been very sick and have written this under great pressure." Eddie has been in and out of hospitals with an inoperable condition of the spine. He recently returned from the Harmarville Rehabilitation Center, where he was desperately struggling to learn to walk again. His Munhall Rd., Pittsburgh, Pa. 15217, and he would welcome letters from his fencing friends.)

## ATLANTA OPEN

by Gerry Goldberg
Fencing Atlanta will host thu ATLANTA OPEN on September 25Decatur, Georgia, recreation cente off the 1976 -77 season. Tradition attended, this six-weapon meet competitions in foil, sabre, and well as those in women's foil, s epee. Atlanta area fencers will sleeping bag space for out-of-tow on request. For information on req schedule, and housing, contact Bryan, secretary, Fencing Atlanta lanta Fencers Club, 15-5th Stre Atlanta, GA. 30308, or call (404) \&

## OFFICIALS PINS

Are you an AFLA official? Any ra official, from Class I up, may wea tractive blue and gold pin.


AMERICAN FENCING

## MID-ATLANTIC SECTIONALS

## by Dick Oles

The 1976 Mid-Atlantic sectional championships, hosted by the Maryland Division and held at the Baltimore Civic Center on May 1-2, had a little something for everybody. The men's foil event was Group I all the way with 3 A's and 2 B's in the final and featured the 1976 US National foil team champions Freeman, Donofrio and Kestler. Epee saw US National champion Scott Bozek get knocked out in the semi final. The sabre was also Group I with the Philadelphia Division trio of Todd Makler Maxwell and Ivey in a 3 -way fenceoff for the title and featured a surprise finalist in the Maryland Division's Paul Friedberg, a high school junior. The women's foil final, again Group 1, was an all-New Jersey Division affair but unfortunately was marred by two of the finalists who indulged in childish temper tantrums, obscene gestures at the officials, baiting the director, and in sulting the spectators, all of which did nothing whatsoever for the fencing. One finalist was expelled from the toumament, but the damage had been done and a bad taste left in everyone's mouth. To his credit, the director kept the situation under control; it is, however, an unfortunate reflection upon the temper of our times that this type of behavior occurs even in a sport which puts a premium upon courteous behavior.

## FOIL (GROUP 1)

1. Freeman, DC; 2. Kestler, DC; 3. Donofrio, VA; 4. Gaylor, NJ; 5. Chiu, DC; 6. Kilson, PH.

## EPEE (GROUP II)

1. Wetzler, DC; 2. Frenson, NJ; 3. Griffin, DC; 4. Slota, MD; 5. Neale, NJ; 6. Gasorek, NJ.

SABRE (GROUP I)

1. Makler, PH; 2. Maxwell, PH; 3. Ivey, PH; 4. Emmel, MD; 5. Fekete, PH; 6. Friedberg, MD.

WOMEN'S FOLL (GROUP 1)

1. Maskal, NJ; 2. O'Connor, NJ; 3. Smith, D.C.; 4. Lynch, NJ; 5. Cinotti, NJ; 6. Farkas, NJ.

## 1976 MID-ATLANTIC

## UNDER-19 CHAMPIONSHIPS

## by Tony Poulos

The 1976 Mid-Atlantic Under-19 Sectional Championships were held at the YMCA in York, Pennsylvania on May 22nd and $23 r$. The event was hosted by the Harrisburg Division of the AFLA.

A big "Thank You" goes to the many people who helped to make the competition run smoothly. Special thanks, however, is due to Dave Micahnik, University of Penn., and to Dick Oles, Johns Hopkins University, for their extra efforts in assuring that the young fencers of the Mid-Atlantic Section enjoyed a well run and well officiated event.

The final results were as follows:
FOIL: (26 entries) 1. Alec Wheadon, TriWeapon 4v; 2. Ian Roberts, Summit 3v; 3. Mike Melillo, Barringer 2v; 4. Matt Harris, MWM 2v; 5. Miguel Llanes, Barringer 2v: 6. Nick Franicola, Essex Catholic 2v.

WOMEN'S FOIL: (24 entries) 1. Lori Sobel, Wm. Patterson 4v; 2. Elka Kristo-Nagy, FCHRC 4v; 3. Agi Vari, HAAC 3v; 4. Jayne Komoski, NHRHS 2v; 5. Leslie D'Ambola, Newark Academy Iv; 6. Anne Kayler, North Hunterdon IV.

EPEE: (19 entries) 1. Chris Hanson, Univ. of Penn. 5v; 2. Alec Wheaden, Tri-Weapon $4 v ; 3$. Jay Thomas, Ramapo $3 v ; 4$. Walter Dragonetti, Univ. of Penn. $2 v ; 5$. Matt Harris, MWM Iv; 6. Bryan Vauls, TriWeapon Ov.
SABRE (17 entries) 1. Steve Renshaw, NYAC 4v; 2. Jay Butan, Univ. of Penn. 4 y ; 3. Jim Heck, unatt. 3v; 4. Peter Vallario, NCSU 2v; 5. Richard Weil, Fair Lawn 2v; 6. Donald Lear, Ramapo Ov.

## SUPPORT OUR ADVERTISERS

American Fencing urges fencers to support our advertisers and to use their products for all their fencing needs.

## PACIFIC COAST CHAMPIONSHIPS

## by Suzanne M. Brown

The Oregon Division hosted the 1976 Pacific Coast Championships in Portland on Memorial Day weekend, May 29-31, 1976 There was a fine representation of fencers from the West Coast Section.

Out of 24 Sabre entrants, Al Lara successfully defended his title as sabre champion Placements are: Al Lara, NOBA, No Cal: 2. Richard Simpson, McDougall, Cen Cal; 3. Carlos Fuertes, Faulkner, So. Cal; 4. Joe Wolfe-Preissnitz, NOBA, No. Cal; 5. George Clovis, MORI, So. Cal; 6. Matt Fragner, NOBA, No. Cal.

There were 34 Epee entrants. 1. Roger Cox, LAMC, No. Cal; 2. Joe Elliott, MORI, So. Cal; 3. William Galnaw, TFC, So. Cal; 4. Asbed Kalfayan, LAMC, No. CaI; 5. Robert Marx, Salle Auriol, Oregon; 6. Carlos Fumante, LGH, No. Cal.

Out of 33 Womens Foil entrants, Debbie Waples went undefeated in a strong womens final. Placements were: 1. Debbie Waples, Salle Auriol, Oregon; 2. Stacey Johnson, Salle d'Asaro, Cen. Cal; 3. Vincent Hurley, Salle d'Asaro, Cen. Cal; 4. Margo Reynolds, LGH, No. Cal; 5. Gay D'Asaro, Salle d'Asaro, Cen. Cal; 6. Elvira Orly, Pon onia, No. Cal.

Out of 33 Foil entrants, Mike Marx went undefeated. Placements were: 1. Mike Marx, Salle Auriol, Oregon; 2. George Nonomura, LAMC, No. Cal; 3. William Gelnaw, TFC, So Cal; 4. John Beatty, NOBA, No. Cal; 5. Joe Wolfe-Preissnitz, NOBA, No. Cal; 6. Tim Taylor, Silverstein, Oregon.

## Team Results

Womens Foil: Salle Auriol, Oregon Foil: NOBA, Northern Califormia. Epee: LAMC, Northern California. Sabre: Laulkner, Southern California.
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## by Eleanor Turney

On May 15-16 60 youngsters pa in age grouped Junior Pacific Coa pionships. We had over 80 entri events.
Under 14 Foil: 1. Mooney, K., Mooney, T., S.C.; 3. Miller, D. 1 Under 14 Women's Foil: 1. Capps, 2. Steffani, M., S.C.; 3. Pon, M. Under 16 Foil: 1. Somps, K., Mooney, K., S.C.; 3. Miller, A. Under 16 Women's Foil: 1. Ellir S.C.; 2. Foote, S., S.C.; 3. Des Ore.
Under 10 Foil: 1. Thomas, B., Mooney, R., S.C.; 3. Manzano,
Under 10 Women's Foil: 1. Ellit S.C.; 2. Foote, S., S.C.; 3. Size Under 16 Sabre: 1. Mooney, R., Reinhards, M., S.C.; 3. Huggins Under 16 Epee: 1. Somps, K., C.C.; berger, N., O.C.
Under 19 Sabre: 1. Thomas, B., Frieder, A., S.C.; 3. Mooney, R. Under 19 Epee: 1. Mitchell, G., Ranes, E., Ore.; 3. Seargent, O.(

## SOUTHEAST SECTION CHAMPIONSHIPS

by K. Alexander
Under 19 Women's Foil: 1. Kath: field; 2. Lisa Powers, FCD; Morgan.
Under 19 Foil: 1. Murry Rapp; 2. er, FGCD; 3. Mel Lamelas, FGC Womens Foil: 1. Jessica Roberts, Brenda Clark, Tenn. 3. Kathy Krı Epee: 1. Bill Shipman; 2. Mark FGCD; 3. Jan Delaney, FGd.
Foil: 1. Jack Steinman, FGD; 2. Rc FGD; 3. Harry Stone.
Sabre: 1. John McFarland, FGD; Alexander, FGCD; 3. Jack Steinr

## Technical 7alkd <br> by <br> Joseph Byrnes <br> The latest news from the FIE on regu-

 lations concerning the equipment comes from the May 1976 Congress, where a number of things were enacted that will affect us all, sooner or later.First some good news. The color of your fencing glove and of the mesh of your mask is optional with you. That's official. I suppose that as long as nobody abuses the privilege and shows up with psychedelic horrors we can all go on using our brown or tan or black gloves and our steel gray masks. Hooray.

Sabre fencers can take heart for a while again: the FIE has decided that off-target touches must be registered by any electrical scoring system for sabre, so all the people working on sabre machines have been sent back to their drawing boards. On the other hand, sabre fencers do have something new to cope with: blade fiexibility. I've remarked before on the lack of precise definition in this area (all that Article 406 has ever said was "Blades that are too stiff or too whippy are prohibited . . ." $]$. Now we have a definition and a measuring system. From now on, sabre blades will have to pass a flex test similar to that used for foil and epee: the blade will be fastened, curve angling down, in a vise at 70 centimeters from the tip, and the same 200 -gram weight used for the other weapons will be hooked onto the end, one centimeter from the tip. The bend of the blade will then be measured in the same fashion shown in the foil and epee diagrams in the rule book (pages 35 and 46): the extent of that bend must fall between 7 and 12 centimeters.

Over the last dozen years or so, the general introduction of solid-state scoring machines has brought some problems for manufacturers and fencers alike. One such prob-
lem is derived from the inherent speed of reaction of transistors, SCR's, IC's and so forth. Unless the design has allowed for the imperfections of the associated equipment (i.e., the reels and the fencer's bod cord and weapon), the machine may produce foil off-target touches in disgusting excess (l mean when there's no point contact) or spurious epee touches on a guard, even when the grounding path is pretty much as envisioned in the rules. In other words, designers have to work to slow down their solid-state beauties. Some new technical specifications on timing and resistance have been adopted for the future in the hope of preventing these irritating (and often intermittent) phenomena.

At least one other addition will eventually be showing up on new foil machines, perhaps within a year, although its requirement for FIE competitions won't come into effect until January 1978, according to the report of the May 1976 Congress. This new advance is to be a pair of yellow lamps, one to a side, added to show if a contact has occurred between the blade or guard and the lame jacket on the same side. As that grounds out the target and make you invulnerable, it is prohibited. Evidently some of the boys and girls in Europe are being naughty again, especially at close quarters where the director cannot always be sure, at some angles, of what has occurred. The yellow lamp on the naughty side will light and stay lit until reset, showing the no-no, and allowing the director to apply the penalties (Article 230 and $548 / \mathrm{b}$ )

Yet another worry for the FIE inspectors: those of you who use metal or molded handles may want to take a closer look at what you're using and look again at Article 25, paragraph 6 , which has just had some teeth added by another of the FIE decisions. If more than one position is merely possible for the hand, the handle will be refused at imspection. I should think that handles with minimal "pronging" would be the targets of this purge. Be guided accordingly.

Perhaps the biggest news is the rigorous inspection of masks that is now being car-
ried out. A spring-loaded punch with a taper ed point is inserted into the mesh and the spring released. The spring has a force of 8 K.G. (17 pounds). If the punch penetrated beyond the taper, thus opening the mesh, the mask, even if brand new, is declared defective - and at FIE competitions, will be destroyed. Our junior fencers in Poznan recently lost two masks this way. (They knock'em flat, like a pancake. I wonder if a small hydraulic press or just a blacksmith's anvil and a 20-pound sledgehammer will be standard equipment for inspectors from now on? Of course, one could always jump up and down on a mask with one's feet, but that way has no class, no class at all.)

Seriously now, have you looked carefully at your mask lately? And if not, why not?

SOUTH TEXAS DIVISIOI by George Nelson
The South Texas Division Cham was held April 24-25, 1976, at Sc Texas State University in San Mari results:

Women's Foil: 1. Mayme Cherry, $\subseteq$ Randi Shoham, UT; 3. Debbie SWT.
Foil: 1. Curtis Parrish, UT; 2. Jerry
TFC; 3. George Nelson, SAFS.
Under-19 Epee: 1. Doug Prickett, 2. Paul Weber, Jr. P.; 3. Harve Jr P.
Sabre: 1. Mike Koch, UT; 2. Curtis UT; 3. Steve Hardin, SWT
Epee: 1. Greg Losey, USMPTC; 2. Pa er, Jr. P. 3. Harvey Cain, Jr. P.

It's time to work on your comeback


Visit us at our new store or send for fre log and price list of complete line of fencing equipment.

## Joseph Vince C

15316 S. CRENSHAW BLVD.
GARDENA, CALIF. 90249
TEL: (213) 323-2370, 321-6568

## ATHLETIC DUELLING RETURNS TO OLD SWORD PLAY

by Richard Gradkowski
Are you bored with foil, epee, or sabre? Tired of being warned for parrying with the unarmed hand? Do you think that an extra dagger in the back pocket would come in handy in your Corps a Corps? Maybe Athletic Duelling is the sport for you

Developed by Dueilling Master Emil Stanley St. Pellicer, of St. Louis, Missouri, Ath letic Duelling is a modern sport based upon old time sword play. Until now, rapier and dagger, sword and shield, small sword, and other styles of fence were limited to theatrical shows or to exhibitions. As a young French actor, Emil St. Pellicer learn ed these different styles of fencing, with al their authentic movements, but was unable to use these techniques in competitive fencing. Not wanting to lose these exciting and interesting methods, over a period of years he studied and developed the school of Athetic Duelling, which he now teaches in his Salle d'Armes in St Louis in order to better establish the new sport in 1971 he organzed the American Athletic Duelling Asso ciation, which is now presided over by Mr John H . Culling. The association has developed rules for athletic duelling and is now preparing an Athletic Duelling Code booklet

Athletic dueling takes its ground rules from those of other combat sports such as Karate, Judo, and Boxing, where combatants can circle each other and use other lateral maneuvers. Japanese fencing, Kendo, stil uses such a wide terrain. The weapons used are Rapier and Dagger, Sword and Shield Small Sword, and Duelling Epee, Duelling Sabre, and Baton. Some of the weapons have been electrified and matches may be held for one point, five points, or more. Provisions in the rules have also been made for multiple affairs, the so called "duelling doubles". In the seventeenth century it was not uncommon for duellists' buddies to team up on the other opponents and have
small battle. Anyone who has seen films like the Three Musketeers would have observed an example of this type of melee.

The athletic duelling area is a circular ground with a diameter marking off the two sides to be occupied by the combatants. The combat is presided over by a referee, just as in fencing. There is no right-of-way, just as there would not be in a real duel

Fencers who wish to expand their competitive and recreational horizons are welcome to send further enquiries to: Duelling Master Emil Stanley St. Pellicer, AADA, 6317 Clayton Road, St. Louis, Mo. 63117.


## MIDWEST CHAMPIONSHIPS

 by Dan J. EdwardsU-19 Womens Foil: 1. C. Massiala-Mich. 2. L. Girard-Wisc.; 3. C. Faley-III.; 4. T. Harms-Mich.; 5. Ford-Key.; 6. E. KolosN. Ohio.

U-19 Foil: 1. D. Rose-Wisc.; 2. P. NewmanN. Ohio; 3. C. Klutke-Mich; 4. K. KoesterIII. 5. P. Zaleski-III.; 6. W. Kubik-III.

U-19 Sabre: 1. K. Koester-III.; 2. H. CashMich.; 3. K. Cawley-III.; 4. M. BoykinsMich.; 5. T. Robinson-Mich.; 6. T. KletnerMich.
U-19 Epee: 1. P. Zaleski-lll. 2. G. SochaWisc.; 3. J. James-Ky.; 5. A. DiamondCent. III.; 6. M. Watts-St. Louis.
Womens Foil: 1. D. Dobesh-Wisc.; 2. L. Girard-Wisc.; 3. A. Susel-N. Ohio; 4. C. Massiala-Mich.; 5. E. Kolos-N. Ohio; 6. G. Klutke-Mich.

Foil: 1. J. Herring-Wisc.; 2. P. Gerard-II.; 3. C. Klutke-Mich.; 4. J. Tank-Wisc.; 5. G. Mueller-Ind.; 6. D. Schaenzer-Wisc

Sabre: 1. W. Goering-Mich.; 2. P. Barcelo Wisc. 3. F. Nagorney-N. Ohio; 4. M. DuikaIII.; 5. A. Gilham-Wisc.; 6. D. EdwardsSt. Louis.
Epee: 1. T. Glass-III.; 2. S. Vandenberg-Wisc.; 3. M. Masters-Mich.; 4. R. Lutze-Wisc.; 5. C. Herkstroeter-St. Louis.

## 1976 TUCSON INTERNATIONAL

## by Steven Jay Gerstein

Seventy one swashbuckiers, male and female, 'north'-paw and southpaw, dueled their way through the 1976 Tucson Grand international, representing such outstanding fencing salles as FAULKNER, MORI, SALLE DE NORD, LETTERMAN, and many thers.

This year marked the return to the Grand nternational of Heizabura Okawa, who won several trophies here during our early years, and won two more this year, fighting off cramps and Mike Dale to win the foil championship, then losing a fence-off in the epee event to teammate Joe Elliot.
Carlos Fuertes successfully defended his 976 sabre championship against a field of sabremen which included Ion Drimba and George Clovis.

The ladies events had a much smaller urnout than in previous years, which promp ed us to modify the Ladies' Handicap Foil into a mixed team event
The 1977 Tucson Grand International has been tentatively set for April 22, 1977. See you then!

## RESULTS:

01L: 1. Heizabura Okawa, Mori; 2. Mike Dale, Letterman; 3. Ken Morgareidge Salle de Nord
EPEE: 1. Joe Elliot, Mori; 2. Heizabura Okawa, Mori; 3. Karl Christe, Mori.
SABRE: 1. Carlos Fuertes, Faulkner; 2. Ion
Drimba, Unatt.; 3. George Clovis, Mori
LADIES' FOIL: 1. Lee Garig, CSUN; 2 Gerrie Baumgart, Salle de Nord; 3. Yvonne Gaallego, Tucson Fencers Group
HANDICAP FOIL: 1. Doug Skilling, Los Gatos; 2. Mike Dale, Letterman; 3. John Wright, Salle de Nord.
LADIES' HANDICAP FOIL: (Mixed Team) 1. Celia Tarascio, Salle de Nord; Bryar Byrd; 2. Gerrie Baumgart, Salle de Nord Mike Hall; 3. Melinda Bell, Faulkner; Doug Skilling
ESPADA DE HONOR: 1. Rolly Arroyo, Phoe nix Fencers Club.
LADIES' ESPADA DE HONOR: 1. Lee Garig CSUN.

## 1976 NICHOLUS CUP

by Donald C. Thomas
The First Annual Nicholus Cu national Fencing Tournament for $L$ 16 and 14 fencers was held May The Nicholus Cup, named in honc first Marine commissioned by the ental Congress in 1775, was joint sored by the City of Covina, the States Marine Corps, and the California Division of the AFLA

The tournament started with ceremony led by Mayor Elaine $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ of Covina.

Mr. Robert Mooney, Chairman of ganizing Committee, is to be cong for his efforts of fund raising and organizing of the tournament.

The tournament consisted of 56 itors; including fencers from Cana and the United States. The quali fencing was quite good.

Under-20 Foil: 1. Thomas, LAAC Jugan, CSUF (4-1); 3. DuCharm ADA (2-3); 4. Kullman, S.A. ( Mooney, R. COV (1-4); 6. Armi, S (1-4)
Under-20 Women's Foil: 1. Foot (4-1); 2. Ellingson, LAAC (4-1); Canada (3-2); 4. Shafai, CSUF/Ir 5. Forman, S. Gason (1-4); 6. Wos (0-5). Foote defeated Ellingson fence-off
Under-16 Foil: 1. Kullman, S.A. Mooney, R. COV (4-1); 3. Sor FRAN (3-2); 4. Kilger, CANADA Mooney, K., COV (1-4); 6. Hads (0-5).
Under-16 Women's Foil: 1. Ellingso (5-0); 2. Foote, LAAC (4-1); 3. Woc (3-2); 4. Capps, CSUF (2-3); 5. AAC (1-4); 6. Ross, LAAC (0-5) Under-14 Women's Foil: 1. Capps 2. Madon, LAAC

Under-14 Foil: 1. Mooney, K. COV Van der Velden, CANADA (4-1); 3 MORI (3-2); 4. Kilger, CANADA Marcus, CSUF (2-3); 6. Mooney (0-5).

## HEROS MEMORIAL

## by Anne Buchner

The 21st Canadian Heroes Memorial Fencing Tournament was held in London, Canada, on October 11th and 12th, 1975. The two day event drew 178 competitors from across Canada and the United States, The results for the final pools were as follows:

## Ladies' Foil:

1. Kay Aoyama, Woodsmen, Toronto (4-1); 2. Carol Wishart, RA, Ottawa (3-2); 3. Marion Julier, Woodsmen, Toronto (3-2); 4. Agotha Susel, CMAC, Cleveland (2-3); 5. Donna McAvoy, Woodsmen, Toronto, (2-3); 6. Louise Aumais, Mousquetaires, Montreal (1-4).

## Foil:

1. Greg Benko, Unatt., Detroit (4-1); 2. Michael Duika, Unatt., Chicago (4-1); 3. Frederick Cosandey, CMU, Pittsburgh (3-2); 4. Zoltan Csete, Unatt., Dearborn (2-3); 5. Greg Mueller, Indiana University (2-3); 6 . Joseph Rudman, S.U.N.Y., Binghamton (0-5).

## Epee:

1. Greg Benko, Unatt., Detroit (5-0); 2. Pierre Marchand, Mousquetaires, Montreal (3-2); 3. Tim Glass, Notre Dame University (3-2); 4. Greg Kocab, Indiana University (3-2); 5. Alan Ruben, Salle Kadar, Bratenahi (1-4); 6. Michael McCahey, Notre Dame University (0-5)

## Sabre

1. Robert Foxcroft, London Sword Club (4-1); 2. Les Samek, Toronto Polish Club (4-1); 3. Jeffrey Tishman, Glen Rock, N.J. (3-2); 4 Dennis Goldberg, St. Jamestown, N.Y. (3-2); 5. Mike Sulivan, Unatt., (1-4); 6. Frank Nadasdy, Toronto Polish Club (0-5).

## NEWSLETTERS

Does your division have its own news letter? Each division should publish its own newsletter, including local news, compefition schedules, results, and other items of interest to members of the division. An annual directory of officers and a full fi nancial report should also be included.


This sword of mine shall give them instant way where they shall rest forever"

Act V, Scene II
King Lear

- culled by Lou Shaff


## FIFTH CHERRY BLOSSOM

## by Esther P. Jorolan

The fifth Annual Cherry Blassom Open held by the Washington Division on April 24-25, 1976 at the Armory of the University of Maryland at College Park Md., attracted over 150 fencers from the nearby States including New York, Ohio, Florida, Pennsyl vania, and New Jersey. All events except Sabre were Group I events. The results of the two-day meet were as follows:

Foil (47): 1. Freeman, J., USMC; 2. Donofrio, 1., USMC; 3. Poyd, K., U. Md.; 4. Kestler, J., NYAC; 5. Siegel, L., NYFC; 6. Labow, H., U. Md.

Epee (52): 1. Yarrison, R., TCFC; 2. Bozek, E., TCFC; 3. Messing, A., NYTV; 4. Siegel, L., NYFC; 5. White, T., USNA; 6. Porter, KCU.
Sabre (26): 1. Pinkus, L., WFC; 2. Van Ahn, USNA; 3. Brunet, C., NY; 4. Deakle, J., NCS; 5. Phillips, J., U. Md.; 6. Spector, H., WFC.

Women's Foil (26): 1. Smith, V., SD; 2. Susel, A., CMFC; 3. De Saurer, S., SD; 4. Keleher, L., JHU; 5. Kolor, E., CMAC; 6. Dresler, L. PFC.

On the whole, the tournament was a success including the dinner enjoyed by some fencers at the Golden Bull Restaurant in Adelphi, Md. on Saturday night.

## 1976 JUNIOR OLYMPICS

## by Sharon Rees <br> UNDER 16 FOIL

Round 1: 26 competitors
Pool 1: 1. Kullman, E. (6-0); 2. Kupay, M. (4-2); 3. Schmidt, P. (3-3); 4. Reed, B. (3-3); 5. Klutke, J. (3-3); 6. Senior, R. (2-4); 7. Somps, K. (0-6).

Pool 2: 1. Leever, N. (5-0); 2. Gibson, B. (4-1); Murphy, D. (3-2); 4. Girard, D. )2-3); 5. Theus W. (1-4); 6. Lansford, T. (0-5).
pool 3: 1. Stewart, J. (5-1); 2. Goldberg, E. (5-1); 3. Kershner, P. (4-2); 4. Fish, R. (3-3); (0-5), W. (3-3); 6. Gurka, F. K. (0-6)

Fool 4: 1. Murray, D. (5-0); 2. Gray, D. (4-1); 3 Cain, P. (3-2); 4. Rees, J.
Quarter Finals:
Quarter Finals; 1 1. Murray, D. (3-0); 2. Kershner, P. (2-1);
3. Rees, J. (1-2); 4. Kupay, M. (0-3).

Pool 2: 1. Leever, N. (3-0); 2. Gibson, B. (2-1) 3. Girard, D. (1-2); 4. Murphy, D. (0-3).

Pool 3: 1. Kullman, E. (3-0); 2. Gray, D. (2-1); 3 Fish, R. (1-2); 4. Cain, P. (0-3).
oof 4: 1. Goldberg, E. (2-1); 2. Schmidt, P. (2-1) 3. Stewart, J. (1-2); 4. Reed, B. (1-2).

Semi Finals:
Pool 1: 1. Girard, D. (5-0); 2. Kulman, E. (4-1); 3. Schmidt, P. (2-3); 4. Goidberg, E. (2-3); 5 Gray, D. (1-4); 6. Rees, J. (1-4).
Pool 2: 1. Leever, N. (4-1); 2. Stewart, J. (4-1) 3. Gibson, B. (2-3); 4. Murray, D. (2-3); 5. Kersh ner, P. (2-3); 6. Fish, R. (1-4)

## inals:

1.Kullman, E. (4-1); 2. Leever, N. (4-1); 3. Gir ard, D. (2-3); 4. Schmidt, P. (2-3); 5. Stewart, J (2-3); 6. Gibson, B. (1-4)

## UNDER 16 WOMEN'S FOIL

Round 1: 18 competitors

(3-2); 3. Foote, S. (3-2); 4. Yelton, T. (3-2); 5 Yulkowski, L. (1-4); 6. Murray, C. (0-5).
Pool 2: 1. Ellingson, J. (5-0); 2. Lansford, $M$ (4-1); 3. Galfond, W. (3-2); 4. Knapp, K. (2-3); 5 Sonnerfeld, M. (1-4); 6. Copeland, M. (0-5).
Pool 3: 1. Valsamis, H. (4-1); 2. Harms, T. (3-2), 3. Nagy, M. (3-2); 4. Colquhoun, A. (2-3); 5 Unkefer, B. (2-3); 6. Bilodeau, M. (1-4).

## Semi Finals:

Pool 1: 1. Angelakis, J. (5-0); 2. Foote, S. (4-1) 3. Harms, T. (3-2); 4. Nagy, M. (2-3); 5. Lansford, M. (1-4); 6. Cotquhoun, A. (0-5).
Pool 2: 1. Ellingson, J. (5-0); 2. Valsamis, $H$ (3-2); 3. D'Ambola, L. (3-2); 4. Yelton, T. (3-2) 5. Galfond W. (1-4); 6 Knapp, K. (0-5)

## Finals:

1. Ellingson, 3. (4-1); 2. Anngelakis, J. (4-1); 3 . Soote S. (4-1); 4. D'Ambola, L. (1-4); 5. Valsamis, H. (1-4); 6. Harms, T. (1-4)

## UNDER 16 EPEE

Round 1: 16 competitors:
Pool 1: 1. Vauls, B. (4-0); 2. Schmidt, 3. Rees, J. (2-2); 4. Goldberg, E. (1-3 ner, A. (0-4).
ool 2: 1. Malisse, C. (3-1); 2. Gibson, 3. Wikins, L. (2-2); 4. Murphy, D. Pool 3: 1. Watts,
ool 3: 1. Watts, M. (4-1); 2. Thomas,
3. Somps, K. (3-2); 4. Lansford T, 3. Somps, K. (3-2); 4. Lansford,
Cain, P. $(2-3)$; 6. Kessler, S. (1-4).

## Semi-Finals:

Pool 1: 1. Thomas, J. (5-0); 2. Schmidt, 3. Vauls, B. (2-3); 4. Lansford, $T$. oool 2: 1. Malisse, C. (5-0); 2. Somps, 3. Watts, M. (3-2); 4. Rees, J. (2-3); 5 . E. (2-3); 6. Wilkins, L. (0-5).

Finals: 1. Malisse, C. (4-1); 2. Thomas, J Watts, M. (4-1); 4. Schmidt, P. (1-4); 5 K. (1-4); 6. Vauls, B. (1-4).

## UNDER 16 SABRE

Round 1: 17 competitors
Pool 1: 1. Goldberg, E. (4-0); 2. Schmidt, 3. Schultz, S. (2-2);

Skrzypezak, G. (0-4).
oor 2. 1. Watts, M. (4-1); 2. Ward, B Gray, D. (3-2); 4. Orzel, G. (2-3); 5
Pool 3: 1. Schmitz, C. (5-0); 2. Fish, R Reed, B. (3-2); 4. Cain, P. (3-2); 5. Reed, B. (3-2); 4. Cain, P. (3-2); 5 .
(1-4); 6. Pretzlaff, R. (0-5). (1-4); 6. Pretzlaff, R. (0-5).

## Semi-Finals

Pool 1: 1. Schmitz, C. (5-0); 2. Garwick, 3. Schmidt, P. (3-2); 4. Ward, B. (2-3) D. (1-4); 6. Cain, P. (1-4).

Pool 2: 1. Goldberg, E. (4-1); 2. Reed, B G. (2-3); 6. Fish, R. (0-5).

Finals: 1. Schmitz, C. (5-0); 2. Reed, B. Garwick, M. (2-3); 4. Watts, M. (2-3); 5 . P. (2-3); 6. Goldberg, E. (0-5).

## UNDER 20 FOIL

Round 1: 70 competitors
Pool 1: 1. Massialas, G. (4-0); 2. Rose 3. Schifrin, P. (2-2); 4. Wheaden, A. Campbell, G. (0-4).
Pool 2: 1. Helms, C. (40); 2. Marx, M. Leach, H. (2-2); 4. Thayer, R. (1-3); 5 G. (0-4).

Pool 3: 1. Gerard, P. (4.0); 2. Rudman Reed, B. (1-3)
Pool 4: 1. Nonomura, G. (4-0); 2, Lan (3-1); 3. Bachmann, S. (2-2); 4. Ranes, 5. Kurber, K. (0-4).

Pool 5: 1. Felice, J. (3-1); 2. Bascom, P Caux, P. (3-1); 4. Anderson, D. (1-3); M. (0-4).

3oi 6: 1. Koester, K. (3-1); 2. Edeberg (3-1); 4. Snedegar, K Farmer, J. (0-4).

Poot 7: 1. Tichacek, J. (4-0); 2. Bonk, A. (3-1); 3. Piazzola, M. (2-2); 4. Arnold, J. (2-3); 5. Thomp son, R. (0-4).
Pool 8: 1. Thomas, B. (4-0); 2. Hanson, C. (2-2); 3. Dixon,
R. $(0-4)$.

Pool 9: 1. Herring, J. (5-0); 2. Wolfson, R. (4-1), 3. Siderits, R. (3-2); 4. Normanly, B. (2-3); 5 . Dell, G. (1-4); 6. Frazzini, K. (0-5).
Pool 10: 1. Socha, G. (4-1); 2. Cawley, K. (3-2); 3. Klutke, C. (3-2); 4. Boykin, M. (2-3); 5. Sulkowicz, K. (2-3); 6. Shaw, M. (1-4).
Pool 11: 1. Betton, G. (4-1); 2. McManus, J. (3-2); 3. Kullman, E. (3-2); 4. Mounts, W. (2-3); 5. Ewing, L. (2-3); 6. Pluta, W. (1-4).

مool 12: 1. Bukantz, J. (4-1); 2. Roberts, 1. (4-1) . Gerand, M. (3-2); 4. (4.-5); M. (2-3); 6. Armi, G. (0-5)

Round 2 :
Pool 1: 1. Socha, G. (4-1); 2. Gerard, M. (3-2); 3 , Klutke, C. (3-2); 4. Nonomura, G. (3-2); 5 Pool 2: 1 Massiaias $G$ ( 500 ; 2 Robert
Pool 2: 1. Massialas, G. 4 Felice Roberts, । Cawley, K. (2-3); 6. McManus, J. (1-4).
Pool 3: 1. Marx, M. (5-0); 2. Rose, D. (3-2); 3 Wolfson, R. (2-3); 4. Thomas, B. (2-3); 5. Siderits, R. (2-3); 6. Hanson, C. (1-4).
Pool 4: 1. Bonk, A. (5-0); 2. Betton, G. (4-1); 3. Herring, J. (3-2); 4. Rudmann, J. (2-3); 5. Kullman, E. (1-4); 6. Bascom, P. (0.5).
Pool 5: 1. Tichacek, J. (5-0); 2. Bukantz, J. (4-1); 3. Forrest, M. (3-2); 4. Lanfear, R. (1-4); 5. Leach, A. (1-4); 6. Edeiberg. D. (1-4).
Pool 6: 1. Gerard, P. (4-1); 2. Caux, P. (4-1); 3. Piazzola, M. (1-4); 6. Klekner, T. (1-4).
Round 3
Pool 1: 1. Herring, J. (5-0); 2. Klutke, C. (4-1); 3. Bonk, A (3-2): 4 Marx, M (1-4): 5 Roberts, 1 (1-4); 6. Socha, G. (1-4).
Pool 2: 1. Gerard, M. (5-0); 2. Massialas, G. (3-2); 3. Wolfson, R. (3-2); 4. Buk

Pool $3: 1$. 1 . Retton, D. (1-4). 3. Gerard, P. (3-2); 4. Forrest, M. (2-3); 5. Dixon, N. (1-4); 6. Schifrin, P. (0-5).

Semi-Finals:
Pool 1: 1. Tichacek, J. (4-1); 2. Marx, M. (4-1); 3. Gerard, P. (3-2); 4. Bonk, A. (2-3); 5. Betton, G. (1-4); 6. Klutke, C. (1-4).
Pool 2: 1. Massialas, G. (5-0); 2. Herring, J. (4-1); 3. Gerard, M. (3-2); 4. Wolfson, R. (2-3); 5
Forrest, M. (1-4); 6. Bukantz, J. (0-5).

Finals: 1. Marx, M. (4-1); 凤 Gerard, P. (4-1); 3 Herring, J. (2-3); 4. Massialas, G. (2-3); 5 Gerard M (2-3); 6 Tichacek, J, (1-4)

## UNDER 20 WOMEN'S FOIL

## Round 1: 44 competitors

Pool 1: 1. Keleher, L. (5-0); 2. Girard, L. (4-1) 3. Massiala, C. $(2-3)$; 4. Rees, C. $(2-3)$; 5. Son nenfeld, $M$ (2-3); 5. Husband, S. (0-5)

Pool 2: 1. Foote, S. (5-0); 2. Bilodeau, R .(4-1); 3 Schwartz, S. (3-2); 4. Hanner, L. (2-3); 5 Orgain, D. (1-4); 6. Boonar, S. (0.5.
Pool 3: 1. Sobel, den, $B,(1-4) ; 6$ Dahan $E(1-4)$ 001 4: 1, Crowion, A. (5-0); 2. Oystar,
Knoblach, D. (3-2); 4. Kristo-Nagy, M. (2-3); 5 Harms, T. (1-4); 6. Czastkowski, S. (0-5).
Pool 5: 1. Kolos, E. (5-0); 2. D'Ambola, L. (5-1) 3. Beckman, K. (4-2); 4. McEntee, J. (3-3); 5 Nagy, M. (2-4); 5. Held, M. (1-5); 7. Seng stacken, D. (0-6).
Pool 6: 1. Angelakis, J. (6-0); 2. Valdiserra, K. (5-1); 3. Koneeny, H. (4-2); 4. Yulkowski, L. (3-3); 5. Sheridan, J. (2-4); 6. Robbins, T. (1-5); 7. Yelton, T. (0-6).
Pool 7: 1. Ellingson, J. (5-0); 2. Lansford, $M$ (4-1); 3. Lieberman, D. (3-2); 4. Kayler, A (2-3); 5. Harms, S. (1-4), . Hoadey, D. (4-1) Pool 8: 1. Brown, S. (5-0); 2. Szabunia, M. (4-1) (1.4); 6. (3-2) B. 10 5) Quarter Finals:
Pool 1: 1. Massiala, C. (4-1); 2. Kolos, E. (4-1) 3. Konecny, H. (2-3); 4. Valdiserri, K. (2-3); 5 Beckman, K. (2-3); 6. Bilodeau, R. (1-4).
Pool 2: 1. Angelakis, J. (4-1); 2. Gericke, 1. (4-1) 3. Sobel, L. (2-3); 4. Keleher, L. (2-3); 5. Peck 5. (2-3); 6. Lansford, M. (1-4).

Pool 3: 1. Crowley. A. (4-1); 2. Foote, S. (4-1); 3 Schwartz. S. (4-1); 4. Brown, K. (2-3); 5 D'Ambola, L. (1-4); 6. Oyster, D. (0-5).
001 4: 1. Ellingson , 4 , (4-1), 3. Lieberman, D. (2-3); 4. Knoblach, D. (2-3); 5 Semi Finals:
Pool 1: 1. Elingson, 3. (4-1); 2. Sobel, L. (4-1); 3 Gericke, 1. (3-2); 4. Kolos, E. (2-3); 5. Schwartz S. (1-4); 6. Crowley, A. (1-4).

Pool 2: 1. Angelakis, J. (4-1); 2. Foote, S. (3-2); 3. Lieberman, D. (3-2); 4. Massiala, C. (3-2); 5 Brown, S. (2-3); 5. Konecny, H. (0-5)
Finals: 1. Angelakis, J. (5-0); 2. Ellingson, J. (3-2), 3. Foote, S. (3-2); 4. Gericke, 1. (3-2); 5. Lieber man. D. $(1-4)$; 6 . Sobelt, L. ( $0-5$ )

## UNDER 20 EPEE

Round 1: 58 competitors
Pool 1: 1. Dragonetti, W. (4-0); 2. Marx, R. (3-1) 3. Pranica, K. (2-2); 4. McCasin, M. (1-3); 5 Kogler, G. (0-4)
ool 2: 1. Shelley, L. (4-0); 2. Watts, M. (3-1) 3. Schifrin, P. $(2-2)$,
Williamson, R. $(0-4)$.

Pool 3: 1. Massialas, G. (5-0); 2. Forrest, M. (4-1) 3. Leach, A. (3-2); 4. Labow, L. (2-3); 5. Ranes, E. (1-4); 6. Warr, D. (0-5).

Pool 4: 1. Jugan, B. (3-2); 2. Socha, G. (3-2); 3 Helms, c. (3-2); 4. Rudmann, J. (2-3); 5. Kull man, E. (2-3); 6. Nizinski, D. (0-5).
Pool 5: 1. MacDonald, R. (5-0); 2. Thomas, J (4-1); 3. Lanfear, R. (4-1); 4. Byrne, R. (2-3); 5. Lowrey, D. (1-4); 6. Holloman, M. (0-5)

Pool 6: 1. Betton, G. (4-1); 2. Glennon, M. (3-2)
3. Cullman, T. (3-2); 4. Ewing, L. (2-3); 5. Su Pool 7: 1. Pacini, M. (5-0); 2 siberticht
3. walter, P. (3-2); 4 vitullo, M. (3-2); 5, Ascher A. (2-3); 6. Naland, J. (0-5).

Pool 8: 1. Dixon, N. (5-0); 2. Hendry, R. (4-1); 3 Swick, K. (2-3); 4. Ellenberg, S. (2-3); 5. Moses F. (1-4); 6. Stewart, J. (1-4).

Pool 9: 1. Herring, J. (5-0); 2. Dzincielewski, S. (4-1); 3. Cain, P. (2-3); 4. Somps, K. (2-3); 5. Mitchell, G. (1-4); 6. Rees, J. (1-4).
Pool 10: 1. Bascom, P. (3-2); 2. Hanson, C. (3-2) 3. Normanly, B. (3-2); 4. Opper, F. (2-3); 5 Weber, P. (2-3); 6. Brinckerhoff, P. (1-4)
Round 2:
Pool 1: 1. Betton, G. (4-1); 2. Socha, G. (3-2); 3 . Swick, K. (3-2); 4. MacDonald, R. (3-2); 5.
Walter, P. $2-3) ; 6$ Hendry R (0-5) Pool 2: 1. Bascom, P. (5-0); 2. Dixom
pool 2: 1. Bascom, P. (5-0); 2. Dixon, N. (4-1); 3. Dzincielewski, S. (2-3); 4. Lanfear, R.
5. Pranica, K. (1-4); 5. Silberlicht, J. (1-4).

Pool 3: 1. Massialas, G. (5-0); 2. Forrest, M. (4-1) Thomas, J. (1-4); 6. Cullum, T. (1-4).
3. Schifrin, P. (2-3); 4. Glemnon, M. (2-3); 5

Pool 4: 1. Sheiley, L. (4-1); 2. Herring, J. (4-1);
3. Jugan, B. (3-2); 4. Hanson, C. (3-2); 5

Leach, A. (1-4); 6. Cain, P. (0-5).
Pool 5: 1. Marx, R. (5-0); 2. Dragonetti, W. (4-1);
3. Heims, C. (2-3); 4. Pacini, M. (2-3); 5. Watts
M. (1-4); 6. Normanly, B. (1-4).

Round 3
Poot 1: 1. Marx, R. (3-1); 2. Herring, J. (3-1); 3 Schifrin, P. (2-2); 4. Betton, G. (1-3); 5. Jugan, B. (1-3).

Pool 2: 1. Massialas, G. (4-0); 2. Forrest, M. (3-1); Swick, K. (0-4).
Pool 3: 1. Sheiley, L. (4-0); 2. Bascom, P. (2-2); 3. Dragonetti, W. (2-2); 4. Helms, C. (1-3); 5 . Socha, G. (0-4).
Finals: 1. Marx, R. (7-1); 2. Shelley, L. (5-2); 3. Schifrin, P. (4-4); 4. Massialas, G. (4-4); 5. Forrest, M. (4-4); 6. Bascom, P. (4-4); 7. Dixon, N. (2-6); 8. Herring. J. (2-6); 9. Dragonetti, W.

## UNDER 20 SABRE

Round 1: 40 competitors
Pool 1: 1. Schulman, S. (5-0); 2. Thomas, B. (5-1); 3. Cash, H. (4-2); 4. Cordts, P. (3-3); 5. Crowe, K. (2-4); 6. Newman, P. (1-5); 7. Armi, G (0-6).
Pool 2: 1. Butan, J. (6-0); 2. Sullivan, M. (5-1); 3 . Goschi, P. (3-3); 4. Reed, B. (3-3); 5. Sulkow icz, K. (3-3); 6. Fazekas, J. (2-4); 7. Farmer J. $(0-6)$.

Pool 3: 1. Caux, P. (6-0); 2. Vallario, P. (4-2); 3 Bascom, P. (4-2); 4. Thomas, C. (4-2); 5. Gar wick, M. (1-5); 6. Forbes, B. (1-5); 7. Huth, M (1-5).
Fool 4: 1. Renshaw, S. (4-1); 2. Betton, G. (4-1); 3 Helms, C. (3-2); 4. Boykins, M. (2-3); 5. Lan fear, R. (1-4); 6. Russell, T. (1-4).

Pool 5: 1. Weil, R. (6-0); 2. Klekner, $T$ Cawley, K. (4-2); 4. Cotterell, L. (4-2 Centi, D. (2-4); 6. Dillinger, T. (1-5); ; Pool 6.1
Pool 6: 1. Edwards, T. (4-1); 2. Burton,
3. Kevetter, D. (4-1): 4. Koester K Frieder, A. (1-4); 6 . Hallman, Quarter Finals
Pool 1: 1. Burton, F. (4-1); 2. Butan, Cawley, K. (3-2); 4. Edwards, T. (2-3); B. (2-3); 6. Bascom, P. (1-4).

Pool 2: 1. Koester, K. (5-0); 2. Renshaw 3. Weil. R. $(2-3) ; 4$. Cotterell, L. Pool 3: 1. Thomas, B. (5-0); 2. Boykins 3. Cash, H (3-2); 4 Caux $P$. $2-3$ ); 5 3. Cash, H. (3-2); 4. Caux, P. (2-3); 5 D. (1-4); 6. Helms, C. (1-4)

Pool 4: 1. Sullivan, $M$. ( $5-0$ ); 2. Klekner 3. Vallario, P. (3-2); 4. Goschi, $P$ Cordts, P. (1-4); 6. Schulman, S. (0-5) Semi-Finals
Pooi 1: 1. Weil, R. (4-1); 2. Butan, J,
Burton, F. (3-2); 4. Koester Ku (23), Burton, F. (3-2); 4 . Koester, K. (2-3); 5 T. (1-4); 6. Boykins, M. (1-4)

Pool 2: 1. Sullivan, M. (5-0); 2. Cawley Vallario, P. (1-4); 6. Thomas, B. (0-5). Finals: 1. Sullivan, M. ( $4-1$ ); 2. Weil, $R$ Renshaw, S. (3-2); 4. Burton, F. (3-2); J. (1-4); 6. Cawley, K. (0-5).

## American <br> Fencing Academu

Founded in 1974 in Ithaca, New Yo

THE FIRST AND ONLY SCHOOL ON AMERICAN CONTINENT TO OFFER YEAR COURSE LEADING TO A DIP IN FENCING.

Now accepting applications. Cand should write for information to

## M. Raoul Sudre

5 Westwood Knoll
Ithaca, New York 14850

## 1976

## NATIONAL CHAMPIONSHIPS

## by Connie Latzko

The Philadelphia Division staged an outstanding National Championship at the Cherry Hill Tennis Courts. This was also the final Olympic Tryout before the Montreal Games. A Total of 500 entries competed in individual events, 95 in Under-19 events and there were 89 teams

No one trains to be Grganizing Chairman. Even so, Dave Micahnik of the Philadelphia Division rose to the occasion and accomplished it well. His task force supplied 20 strips in a spacious, well-it arena. A technical crew headed by Marc Marshall kept it running smoothly. The Bout Committee, headed by Bill Latzko, and aided by managers assigned to each event, kept a complicated schedule moving competently and on time. By scheduling the quarter final, semi final and final rounds the day after the prelims, the fencers could fence without exhaustion and long hours interfering with their game

The Martini-Rossi Trophy was won by the New York Athletic Club, with wins in epee, epee team, and sabre contributing to their total points. N.Y.A.C. had 38 points, Salle Santelli was second with 34 and New York Fencers Club was third with 20 points

## FOIL

## First Round:

Pool 1: 1. Biebel, Wauwatosa (4-0); 2. Brodeth

1. Swordsmen (2-2); 3. J. Krause, Unat, (2-2); 4. Daley, Nord. (2-2); 5. Kiem, Lion (0-4). Pool 2: 1. Cohen, NYFC (3-0); 2. Flint, Ranc. (2-1); 3. Solecki, USM- (1-2), (Fencing Alternate) (0-3)
Pool 3: 1. Powers, NYU (2-0); 2. Marx, Auriol (1-1); 3. Lumm, Tucson (0-2).
Pool 4: 1. Marin, (1-1); 3. Basset, SS (0-2). FAM (3-1); 3. Ofner, Unats (2-0); 2. Massialas (1-3); 5. Scranton, CMU (0-4).
pool 6: 1. Herring, Wauwatosa (3-1) Georges, Auriot (3-1), 3 Friosa (3-1); 2 , Des 4. King, Ranc. (1-3); ; Kiison, NFRA $(1-3)$ (2-2 . King, Ranc. ( $1-3$ ); 5. Kilson, AFRA (1-3).
(3-1); 3. Shaffer, Nord. (3-1) ; 4 Gelnaw, Torr 5. Jahn, Unat (0-4).

Pool 8: 1. Makler, SC (4-0); 2. McCahey, Notre Dame (2-2); 3. Sessions, Sde Armes (2-2); 4. Tishman, Unat. (1-3); 5. Wright, Sde Nord (1-3).
Pool 9: 1. Mannino, NYAC (4-0); 2. Gerard, N-D (3-1); 3. Morrow, WVU (2-2); 4. McDowell, sC (1-3); 5. Roornstra, FAM (0-4).
Pool 10 1. Wills, Cornell ( $3-1$ ); 2. Milligan, $L$ Swordmen (3-1); 3. Elliott, Mori. (3-1); 4 .Duika FAM (1-3); 5. Fiducia, SC (0-4).
Pool 11: 1. Mueller, Ind $U$ (4-1); 2. Shamash, NOBA (3-2); 3. Rhodes, Unat. (3-2); 4. Blakley, Fly-Wh (0-5).
Pool 12: 1. Michaan, Unat. (4-1); 2. Poyd, Univ. of Md. (4-1); 3. Gerard, $U$ of 111. (3-2); 4. Woolner, Unat. (2-3); 5. Haselkorn, Unat. (1-4); 6. DeCubas, ATLFC (1-4).
Pool 13 1. Siegel, NYFC (4-0); 2. McCormick, Cleve St. (3-1); 3. Morgareidge, Nord (2-2); 4 Handler, Unat. (1-3); 5. Olivero, Cumb. (0-4).
Pool 14: 1. Byrd, NOBA (5-0); 2. Aviles, Richards (4-1); 3. Thomaspson, Piedmt (2-3); 4. Wheeler, DCFC (2-3); 5. Petretti, Prince (1-4); 6. Irvin PAFC (1-4).
Pool 15: 1. Ballinger, SS (4-0); 2. Gross, $U$ of $P$ (2-2); 3. Masters, FAM (2-2); 4. Canvin, NYFC 2-2); 5. Nelson, San Antonio (0-4)
Pool 16: 1. Nonno, NYFC (3-0); 2. Gonzalez, HAAC (2-0); 3. Bergman, SC (1-2); 4. Bosco, Lion

Pool 17
Pool 17: 1. Donofrio, USMC (3-0); 2. Peters, NYAC (2-1); 3. Gaylor, Dart. (1-2); 4. Cotton, NOFC (0-3).
weot 18: 1. Krause, NYAC (4-0); 2. Rainford, Oswego (3-1); 3. Pichler, NYTV (1-3); 4. Duffy, Purdue (1-3); 5. Turnley, Vand U. (1-3).
Poof 19: 1. Martino, W. Pat. (4-0); 2. Axelrod, NYFC (3-1); 3. Myrden, USMA (2-2); 4. Wolfson, $U$ of $P(1-3)$; 5. Fantini, $W$ \& $M(0-4)$. Pool 20: 1. Kestler, NYAC (2-0); 2. Veljacic, NYU (1-1); 3. Szathmary, Unat. (0-2)
Pool 21: 1. Lang, SS (3-1); 2. Beatty, NOBA (3-1); 3. Stone, Vand. (2-2); 4. Doreman, Fenn. (1-3); 5. Campana, NOFC (1-3).

Pool 22: 1. Wright, SS (4-0); 2. Leach, Cornell (2-2);
3. Vitiello, Silver Stein ( $2-2$ ); 3. Vitiello, Silver Stein (2-2); 4. Bleakley, Okla a (1-3), 5. Lee, U of Wisc. (1-3)
ool 23: 1. Nonomura, LAMC (3-1); 2. Pitt, Unat (2-2); 5. Pyne, Charl (0-4).
Pool 24: 1. Hambarzumian, LAMC (3-0); 2. Settles Ho-4 (2-1): 3. Kan, Sd Armes (1-2). 4. Thomis zer, Atla FC (0-3).
Pool 25: 1. Ashley, NOBA (3-1); 2. Klutke, FAM (2-2); 3. Messing, NYTV (2-2); 4. Alfson, Unat. (2-2); 5. Koester, Rosent. (1-3).
Pool 26: 1. Tank, Tosce (3-1); 2. Bennett, Han 3-1); 3. Manley, AFRA (2-2); 4. Herman, WestInd (1-3); 5. Mckee, Prince (1-3).
Pool 27: 1. Freeman, USMC (3-0); 2. McConville $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{D}(2-1) ; 3$. Dale, LAMC (2-1); 4. Pantel, Prince
$(0-3)$.

Pool 28: 1. Tarascio, Nord (4-0); 2. Reith NYF (3-1); 3. Major, Havard (1-3); 4. Thomas, LAAC (1-3); 5. Holbrow, RANC. (1-3).
Second Round:
Pool 1: 1. Byrd, Ariz. (4-1); 2, Tank, Wisc. (4-1); 3. Astiley, N. Cal. (2-3); 4. Klutke, Mich. $(2-3)$; Pool 2: 1 Wright west . Szathmary, Tenn. (1-4) (3-2). 3 Morgar West (4-1); 2. Massialas, Mich (3-2); 3. Morgareidge, Colo. (3-2); 4. Beatty, N Metro ( $0-5$ ).
Pool 3: 1. Ballinger, Met. (5-0); 2. Marx, Oreg. (3-2); 3. Axlerod, Met (3-2); 4. Lumm, Ariz (0-5).
Pool 4: 1. Lang, SS (5-0); 2. Biebel, Wavwaton (3-2); 3. Gelnaw, Torrance (3-2); 4. Sessions, S D. Armes (2-3); 5. Bassett, Met 27 (1-4); 6. Flint, Rancocas Val. (1-4)
Pool 5: 1. Labow, W DC (4-1); 2. Davis, Metro (3-2); 3. Maker, Phila. (3-2); 4. Leach, WNY Ohio (0-5) , N.
Ohio (0-5)
Pool 6: 1. Gerard, Ill. (5-0); 2. Tarascio. Sd. Nord
(4-1); 3. Hrering Waus (3-2) (4-1-4); 5. Hross, Wau (3-2); 4. Poyd, $U$ of $M$ (1-4).
Pool 7: 1. Donofrio, Va. (4-1); 2. Nonomora, N Cal. (3-2);; 3. Aviles, N.E. (3-2); 4. Hasyn, Phil 3-2); 5. Gerard, C. 11 (2-3); 6. Bergman, Phil (0-5).

## PRIEUR SPDRTS

TWO CENTURIES OF EXPERIENCE AT YOUR SERVICE


DISTRIBUTORS

Sudre Fencing Co.
5 Westwood Knoll
Ithaca, N. Y
14850

American Fencers Supply 3696 St. Andre San Francisco, Cal. Canada 94115

Southern Galifornia Fencers Equipment 5335 Santa Monica Blvd., Hollywood, Calif. 90029
FOUNDED IN 1788
OLDEST MANUFACTURER OF FENCING EQUIPMENT IN THE WORLD

Pool 8: 1. Nonna, Metro (5-0); 2. Gaylor, 3. Mueller, Indiana (3-2); 4. Ofner, 5. Rhodes, III. (1-4); 6. Pitt Hud. (0Pool 9: 1. Krause, Met. (5-0); 2. Mich (3-2); 3. Shamash, No. Cal. (3-2); 4. D.C. (2-3); 5. Milligan, LI (2-3); 6 . 10: 1
(4-1); 3. Powers, NYFC (4-1); 2. Pete (4-1); 3. Powers, NYU (4-1); 4. Br $(2-3) ;$ 5. Dale, LAMC (1-4); 6. Des
S Av $(0-5)$ Marin, So
Marin, So. Cal. (3-2); 3. Myrden, W.
Pool 11: 1. Hambarzmia 4. Shaffer, Colo. (2-3); 5. Settles, Horiz Thompson, Piedmant ( $0-5$ ).
Pool 12: 1. Mannino, L.I. (5-0); 2. Kestler (3-2); 3. Reith, N. Ohio (3-2); 4. Maste (2-3); 5. McConville, Ind. (2-3); 6. F Metro ( $0-5$ ).
Pool 13: 1. Freeman, W. DC. (4-1); 2 N.C. (4-1); 3. Gonzales, N.J. (3-2); 4. L. 1 (2-3); 5. Morrow, W. V. (2-3); 6. W. NY (0-5).
(4-1); 3. Bennett, N.J. (5-0); 2. Siege (2-3); 5: Manley Phil (3-4); 4. Elliot $(2-3)$; 5; Manley, Phil, (1-4); 6. Messin

Third Round:
ool 1: 1. Hambarzumian, LAMC (6-0); 2 Wis. (4-2); 3. Siegel, NYFC (3-3); 4

NOBA (3-3); 5. Axelrod, NYFC (3-3);
W DC (2-6); 7. Aviles, S. Rich (0-6).
Pool 2: 1. Mackler, SC ( $6-0$ ); 2. Nonna, NYFC (5-1); 3. Gelnaw, Tor. FC (3-3); 4. Myrden, W Pt (3-3); 5. Bennett, Harvard (3-3); 6. Peters, NYAC (1-5); 7. Krause, UN (0-5).
Pool 3: 1. Restler, NYAC (5-1); 2. Ballinger, S Sant (4-2); 3. Wright, SS (4-2); 4. Byrd, NOBA (3-3); 5. Davis, NYFC (2-4); 6. Herring,

Pool 4: 1. Ashley, NOBA (5-1); 2. Marx, S.A. (4-2); oool 4: 1. Ashtey, NOBA (J-1, 2. Ma, NYAC (3-3) 3. Michaan, UN (3-3); 4. Mammin, NYAC (3-3); 7. Gaylor, D. FC (2-4).

Pool 5: 1. Krause, NYAC (5-1); 2. Morgareidge, S.N (4-2); 3. Martino, W. Pat (3-3); 4. Massialas, FAM (3-3); 5. Labow, 4 of Md (3-3); 6. Marin, LAAC (3-3); 7. Reith, NYFC (0-6).
Pool 6: 1. Lang, Salle Santelli (5-1); 2. Tank, Wawatosa (4-2); 3. Gerard, Notre Dame (4-2); 4. Donofrio, USMC (4-2); 5. Gonzales, HAAC (2-4); 5. Powers, NYU (1-5); 7. Mueller, in diana (1-5).
Quarter-Final
Pool 1: 1. Tank, J. (4-1); 2. Biebel, J. (4-1); 3. Mackler, B. (3-2); 4. Massialas, G. (3-2); 5. Mar tino, P. (1-4); 6. Myrden, J. (0-5)
Pool 2: 1. Ballinger, Ed (5-0); 2. Hambarzymian,
H. (3-2); 3. Donofrio, Ed (3-2); 4. Siegel, L H. (3-2); 3. Donofrio, Ed (3-2); 4. Siegel, L
(2-3); 5. Michaan, S. (2-3); 6. Asheley, P. (-5). Pool 3: 1. Marx, M. (4-1); 2. Lang, M. (3-2); 3. Mannino, V. (3-2); 4. Shamash, J. (3-2); 5. Nonna, J. (2-3); 5. Morgareidge, K. (0-5).
Pool 4: 1. Kestler, J. (5-0); 2. Wright, Ed (4-1); 3. Krause, W. (3-2); 4. Gerard, P. (2-3); 5. Gel naw, W. (1-4); 6. Eyrd, B. (0-5).
semi-Final
Pool 1: 1. Wright, E. (4-1); 2. Hambarzumian, $H$ (3-2); 3. Lang, $M$. (3-2); 4. Kestier, J. (3-2) 5. Mamino, V. (2-3); 6. Tank, J. (0-5).

Pool 2: Donofrio, E. (4-1); 2. Marx, M. (3-2); 3. Ballinger, E. (3-2); 4. Biebel, J. (2-3); 5. Krause W. (2.3), E. Maker, B. (1-2).

Finals: 1. Donofrio, Ed (3-2); 2. Ballinger, Ed
(3-2); 3. Marx, $M$ (3-2); 4. Wright, Ed (3-2); 5 (3-2); 3. Marx, M. (3-2); 4. Wright, Ed (3-2);
Barrage: 1. Donolrio, Ed (3-0); 2. Ballinger (2-1); 3. Marx (1-2); 4. Wright, Ed (0-3).

## SABRE

First Round:
Pool 1: 1. Westbrook, NYFC (3-1); 2. Fekete, SC 12-2); 3. Barden Cumb CC (2-2); 4. Szalthmary (2-2); 3. Bar
Unat. (0-4).
Pool 2: 1. Apostol, NYFC (4-0); 2. Thompson, Pied (3-1); 3. Dopieralia, Unat. (2-2); 4. Thompson, Pied (1-3); 5. Danna, NOFC (0-4).
Pool 3: 1. Orban, NYAC (4.0); 2. Crimone, WFC (2-2); 3. Butan, $U$ of $P(2-2)$; 4. Schwary, NOFC (1-3); 5. Sobel, NYFC (1-3).
Pool 4: 1. Reilly, NYAC (3-0); 2. Goldberg, Unat. (2-1); 3. Cypel, NYTV (1-2); 4. Mercado, Unat (0-3).

Pool 5: 1. Szathmary, Unat. (4-0); 2. Dow, NYFC (3-1); 3. Hou, $U$ of Wisc. (2-2); 4. Mattert, Glen (1-3); 5. Olin, Hart (0-4).
Pool 6: 1. Hamlin, AFRA (3-1); 2. Kaplan, NYFC (2-2); 3. Weisenfeld, Trinity (2-2); 4. Trammell, NOFC (1-3); 5. Rhodes, Unat. (1-3).
Pool 7: 1. House, NYFC (4-0); 2. Iffe, am. Imat. 5 Coton NOFC ( $0-4$ )
Pool $8: 1$ Blum, Unat. (4-1)
Pool 8:1. Blum, Unat. (4-1); 2. Terninko, Ed Armes 5. Johnsen, NOFC (1-4); 6. Miller, MONM (1-4). fool 9: 1. Kalmar, NOFC (4-0); 2. Essig, NOBA (3-1); 3. Chiang, Unat. (2-2); 4. Bartha, FCHRL (1-3); 5. Woo, WF (0-4).
Pool 10: 1. Losonczy, NYAC (3-0); 2. Emmel, Palazs (1-2); 3. Volkmann, Fatla (1-2); 4. Rainis, WVU (1-2).
Pool 11: 1. Duika, FAM (3-1); 2. Glucksman, NYFC (3-1); 3. Pritchard, Yale (2-2); 4. Tishman, Unat. (2-2); 6 . Farrell, Cal. St. (0-4)
Pool 12: 1. Smith, Colum (3-2); 2. Barceio, Tosa
(2-1); 3. Brand, MIT (1-2); 4. Booker, AFRA (0-3)
Pool 13: 1. Lekach, NYAC (4-0); 2. Nagorney, Kadar (3-1); 3. Fragner, NOBA (2-2); 4. Brand, MIT (1-3); 5. Finn, SC (0-4).
Pool 14: 1. Goering, FAM (3-0); 2. Ivey, AFRA (2-1); 3. Morrison, Unat. (1-2); 4. McCormicm Clave S (0-3).
Pool 15: 1. Makler, SC (3-0); 2. Feldman, Yale (2-1); 3. Vitiello, S Stein (1-2); 4. Molinaro, Madi. (0-3).
Pool 16: 1. Blum, R. (4-0); 2. Spector, H. (3-1); 3. Steiner, P. (2-2); 4. Glassman, SC (1-3); 5. Pal 17 I Pink (0-4)
Pool 11: 3 Benedek, UFC (3-0); 2. Mones, LISW (2-1); 3 Benedek, Unat. (1-2); 4. Hameka, SC

Pool 18: 1. Maxwell, SC (3-0); 2. Gall, NYAL (2-1); 3. Friedberg, Triw (1-2); 4. Bowe, TCFC (0-3).
Pool 19: 1. Tishman, J. (3-0); 2. Hasyn, Unat. (2-1); 3. Keane, U of NC (1-2); 4. Smith, Unat. $(0-3)$.
P
Pool 20: 1. Majtenyi, St. Johns (3-1); 2. Chisholm, AFRA (3-1); 3. Sullivan, N.D. (3-1); 4. Gelnaw, Torr (1-3); 5. Strait, $U$ of NC (0-4).

## Second Round:

Pool 1: 1. Sollictan, $M$ (3-1); 2. Blum, B (3-1); 3. Glucksman (3-1); 4. Butan (1-3); 5. Hoc (0-4). Pool 2: 1. Apostol (4-0); 2. Chisholm (2-2); 3. Steiner (2-2); 4. Essig (1-3); 5. Fragner (1-3). Pool 3: 1. Losonczy (4-0); 2. Magorney (3-1); 3. weisenfeld (0-4).
Pool 4: 1. Offelman (4-0); 2. Dow (3-1); 3. Crimone (2-2); 4. Szarthmorgm (1-3); 5. Benedek (0-4) Pool 5: 1. Goering (4-0); 2. Duika, (2-2); 3. Thomas B. (2-2); 4. Pritchard (2-2); 5. Emmel (0-4). Pool 6: 1. Kaplan, S (4-0); 2. Eak, $(3-1): 3$ Maitenyi (2-2); 4. Chiang (1-3); 5. Morrison (0-4).

Pool 7: 1. Reilly (4-0); 2. Kalmar (3-1); 3. Brand (2-2); 4. Spector (1-3); 5. Koser (0-4).
Pool 8: 1. Gall (4-0); 2. House (3-1); 3. Terninko (2-2); 4. Keane, AJ (1-3); 5. Clovis (0-4).
Pool 9: 1. Mones (3-1); 2. Blum, S (3-1); 3. Lekach (3-1); 4. Barden ,1-3); 5. Volkmann (1-3).
Pool 10: 1. Orban (4-0); 2. Pinkus (3-1); 3. Gold berg (2-2); 4. Friedberg (1-3); 5. Hasyn (0-4).
Pool 11: 1. Westbrook (4-0); 2. Maxwell (3-1); 3 Barcelo (1-3); 4. Fakete (1-3); 5. Vitiello (1-3).
Pool 12: Cypel (3-1); 2. Smith, B (3-1); 3. Tish man, J (2-2) 4. Ivey (1-3); 5. Fieldman, B (1-3).

Third Round:
Pool 1: 1. Reilly, $A C$ (4-1); 2. Gluckman, FC (4-1); 3. Blum, FC (2-3); 4. Gall, AC (2-3); 5. Brand, MIT (2-3).
Pool 2: 1. Apostal, FC (5-0); 2. Masteny, John (3-2), 3. House, $A C$ (3-2); 4. Lekach, AC (3-2); Mamlin, Un (1-4) 6. Uffelman, Un (0-5).
Pool 3: 1. Orban, $A C$ (5-0); 2. Kaplan, FC (4-1); 3. Dow, FC (2-3); 4. Blum, UN (2-3); 5. Duika, FAM (2-3); 6 . Thomas, LAAC (0-5)
Pool 4: 1. Kalmar, NOFC (4-1); 2. Makler, SC (4-1); 3. Losonczy, AR (4-1); 4. Terninko, Sde WFC ( $0-5$ ).
Pool 5: I Westbrook, FC (5-0); 2. Cypel, TV (3-2); 3. Mones, LI (3-2); 4. Chishoim ,AFRA (2-3) 5. Steiner, HAAC (1-4); 6. Pinkus, WFC (1-4).

Pool No. 6: 1. Tishman, RC (4-1); 2. Barct (3-2); 3. Sulivan, N-D (3-2); 4. Max (2-3); 5. Goering, FAM (2-3).

## Quarter Final:

Pool 1: 1. Apostol, NYFC (4-1); 2. Reil (4-1); 3. Glucksman, NYFC (4-1); 4. N Arms (1-4) Arms (1-4).
Pool 2. 1. Kalmar, NOFC (4-1); 2. Max (4-1); 3. Kaplan, NYFC (3-2); 4. Lekac (3-2); 5. Chisholm, AFRA (1-4); 6. FC (0-5).
Pool 3: 1. Westbrook, NYFC (5-0); 2. 1 NYAC (3-2); 3. Gall, NYAC (2-3); 4 NYTV (2-3); 5 . M
Pool 4: 1. Orban, NYAC (4-1); 2. Marcek (3-2); 3. Makler, SC (2-3); 4. Dow, NY 5. Sullivan, N.D. (2-3); 6. Blum, NYFC Semi-Final
Pool 1: 1. Westbrook, P. (5-0); 2. Kaplan, 3. Losonczy, T. (3-2); 4. Reilly, $P$. Makier, T. (1-4); 6. Maxwelf, R. (0-5).
Pool 2: 1. Apostol, P. (5-0); 2. Kalmar Pool 2: 1. Apostol, P. (5-0); 2. Kalmar, 3. Orban, A. (3-2); 4. Gall, C. (1-4); 5 P. (1-4); 6. Glucksman, J. (1-4).

Final: 1. Losonczy, T. (5-0); 2. Westb (4-1); 3. Kalmar, 1. (3-2); 4. Apósto 5. Kaplan, S. (1-4); 6. Orban, A. (0-5).
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## EPEE

First Round:
Pool 1: 1. Matheson, USA (4-1); 2. Wetzier, DCFC (4-1); 3. McDowell, SC (3-2); 4. Moore, Oswggo (3-2); 5. Socha, $U$ of Wise (1-4); 6. King, Ranc (0-5).
Pool 2: 1. Marin, LAMC (3-1); 2. Trusiewicz, Rich ards (3-1); 3. Scott, FAM (3-1); 4. Hoppe, Palaz (1-3); 5. Prichett, Jr.. USMP (0-4)
Pool 3: 1. Cotton, Unat. (4-1); 2. Shifrin, Gascon Hunt (2-3); 5. Manley, AFRA (1-4); 6. Turgeon, ND (1-4).
Pool 4: 1. Meicher, NYFC (4-1); 2. Swick, SFA (4-1); 3. Eaton, Rend (3-2); 4. Wigodsky, SC (2-3); 5. Gelnaw, Torr (2-3); 5. Palevsky, NWL (0-5).
Pool 5: 1. Cantillon, FAM (4-0); 2. Yarrison, TCFC (3-1); 3. Kursar, NYTV (2-2); 4. Watts, St. Lou (1-3); 5. Fiducia, Sc (0-4)
Pool 6: 1. Marx, Auriol (3-1); 2. Eggleton, U of $P$ (3-1); 3. Borack, Mori (2-2); 4. Rainford, Oswego (1-3); 5. Finkleman, AFA (1-3).
Pool 7: 1. Siegel, NYFC (3-1); 2. Peters, NYAC (2-2); 3. Caputo, Unat. (2-2); 4. Holbrow, Ranc (2-2); 5. Sweeney, Unatt. (1-3).
Pool 8: 1. Flint, Ranc. (3-1); 2. Belok, NYFC (3-2) 2. Schoof, FAM (2-2); 4. Brotchie, TCFC (2-2); 5 Demos, Unat. (0-4)
Pool 9: 1. Makler, SC (4-1); 2. Vendenberg, Madi 4-1); 3. Nightingale, Unat. (2-3); 4. James Kadar (2-3); 5. Nelson, San Unat. (1-4)
Pool 10: 1. Beck, Unat. (4-1); 2. Thomas, HAAC (4-1); 3. Weber, TCFC (3-2); 4. Kiraly, Kada (2-5); 5. Duffy, furdue $(2-3) ; 6$. Timpe, NYFC
Pool 11: 1. Lee, NYTV (5-0); 2. McMahan, SC (4-1), 3. Date, LAMC (3-2); 4. Hirsch, DCFC (2-3); 5 Stewart, Ocala (1-4); 6. Eckersdorff, USMP (015),
Pool 12: 1. Guzenske, Mori. (2-1); 2. Kalfayan LAMC (1-2); 3. MCDermott, TCFC (1-2); 4. Cohen NYFC (0-3).
Pool 13: 1. Losey, USMP (5-0); 2. Jones, Temple (4-1); 3. Blackburing, LAMC (2-3); 4. Marshall, Unat. (2-3); 5. Messing, NYTV (2-3); 6. Bleak ley, okla (0-5).
001 14: 1. Cox, LAMC (5-0); 2. Sjelley, SFA (4-1) 3. Masters, FAM (3-2); 4. Opper, Bkiyn, (1-4); 5 Alfson, Unat. (1-4); 6. Posorske, SC (1-4).
Pool 15: 1. Johnson, USA (3-1); 2. Griffin, U o Md. (2-2); 3. Gonzalez, haAC (2-2); 4. McNulty Sc (2-2); 5. Brown, Auriol (1-3).
Pool 16: 1. Soter, LAMG (4.0); 2. O'Flaherty DCFC (3-1); 3. Makler, SC (2-2); 4. Carberry Unat. (1-3); 5. Field, Jr. USMP (0-4)
Pool 17: 1. McCormick, USMP (4-0); 2. Feldman NYTV (3-1); 3. Daley, Nord (2-2); 4. Pinter, SC 1-3); 5. Miler, Monm. (0-4)
Pool 18: 1. Lyons, DCFC (4-0); 2. Benge, Nord (3-1); 3. Bernstein, SFA (1-3); 4. Parr, Gerac (1-3): 5. Dominguez, SC (1-3)

Pool 19: 1. Elliott, Morí (3-0); 2. Tishman, Unat (1-2); 3. Bergman, SC (1-2); 4. Davis, NYFC (1-2).
Pool 20: 1. Massialas, FAM (3-0); 2. Lynn, NYAC (1-2); 3. Butterick, Penn. (1-2); 4. Barden, Cumb (1-2).
Pool 21: 1 Masin NYAC (2-0): 2 Slota, Johns Hop ( $1-1$ ); 3. Johnsen, NOFC ( $0-2$ ).
Pool 22: 1. Marx, Auriol (4-0); 2. McKes IAMC (2-2); 3. Smith, Mich. (2-2); 4. Lategano, NYAC (1-3); 5. Weber, Jr. USMP (1-3).
Pool 24: 1. Pesthy, NYAC (3-0); 2. Nagorney, Kadar (1-2); 3. Krause, Unat. (1-2); 4. Mattert, Glend (1-2).
Pool 25: 1. Hupp, Cornell (3-0); 2. Toomer, AFRA (0-3).
Pool 26: 1. Lane, Nord (3-0); 2. Netburn, NYAC (2-1); 3. Frederick, Cornell (1-2).
Pool 27 1. Glass, ND (3-0); 2. Solecki, USMC (2-1); 3. Myrden, USMA (1-2); 4. Olin, Hart (0-3).
Pool 28: 1. Bozek, TCFC (3-1); 2. Pacini, $N$ Trier (2-2); 3. Blakley, IFCS (2-2); 4. Austin, Palasz (2-2); 5. Frenson, Rutg (1-3).

## Second Round

Fool 1: 1. Losey, USMTC (5-0); 2. Morgeridge, S. Drard (4-1); 3. Pacini, 11 (3-2); 4. Thomas, HAAC (2-3); 5. Jones, Temp (1-4); 6. Johnsen, NOFC (0-5).
Pool 2: 1. Cantillon, FAM (4-1); 2. Schifrin, $S$. Goscou (4-1); 3. Butlerick, Pom st. (2-3); 4. Wotzler, DCFC (1-4),
Wotzler, DCFC (1-4)
Pool 3: 1. Lyong, DCFC (4-1); 2. Cotton, UN (4-1); 3. Siota, J. Hop (3-2); 4. Lynn, NYAC (2-3); 5. Smith, Afra (1-4); 6. Bonge, Sd Nort (1-4). Pool 4: 1. Mackler, SC (5-0); 2. Beck, UN (3-2); 3. Cox ,Lett. (2-3); 4. Marx S.A. (2-3); 5 .

Pool 5: 1. MCMahan SC (4-1); 2. Johnson, USA (4-1); 3. Belok, NYFC (3-2); 4. Borack, Mori (2-3); 5. Lee, NYTV (2-3); 6. Wright, S. DCNoro (0-5).
Pool 6: 1. Marx, SA (5-0); 2. Siegel, NYFC (3-2); 3. Gonzalez, HAAC (3-2); 4. Master, FAM (2-3); 5. Bergman, SC (2-3); 6. Eaton, RFC (0-5).

Pool 7: 1. Vandenbergh, Mad (4-1); 2. Bozek, TCFC (4-1); 3. Peters, NYAC (4-1); 4. Soter, LGH (2-3): 5. Dale, LGH (1-4); 6. Krause, UN (0-5). Pool 8: 1. Matheson, USMP (4-1); 2. Eggleton, U of P (4-1); 3. McCormick, USMP (3-2); 4. Nagorney, Kadar (2-3); 5. Kursar, TV (0-5).
Pool 9: 1. Tishman, UN (4-1); 2. Swick, SFA (3-2); 3. Marin, LAMC (3-2); 4. Massialas, FAM (2-3); Pool 10: 1 Masin NYAC (4-1); 2 Melcher, NYFC Pool 10. 1-1) Nasu, NYAC (3-2); 4 Caputo, UN (4-1); 3. Flint Rancoc (1-4); 6. Frederick, Cornel ( $0-5$ ).

Pool 11: 1. Shelley, SFA (5-0); 2. Glass, U. ND (3-2); 3. Daley, Sd. N (3-2); 4. Toomer, AFR (2-3); 5. Feldman, NYTV (2-3); 6. McDermot Tan C. (0-5)

Pool 12: 1. Elliott, Mori (4-1); 2. Yarrison, TCFC (4-1); 3. Reith, NYFC (2-3); 4. Makler, SC (2-3); 5. Solecki, USMC (1-4); 6. Blackburn, LAMC (0.5).

Pool 13: 1. Pesthy, NYAC (5-0); 2. Guzenske, Mor (4-1); 3. Trusiewicz, Rich (3-2); 4. Kahn, LAMC (2-3); 5. Nightingale, UN (1-4); 5. Lane, Nord (0-5).
Pool 14: 1. Greenwald, USMPTC (5-0); 2. Scott, FAM (4-1); 3. Kalfayan, LAMC (3-2); 4. Hupp, Myrden, USMA (0.5).

## Third Round:

Pool 1: 1. Makler, SC (4-2); 2. Bozek, YCFC (4-2) 3. Cotton, UN (4-2); 4. Schifrin, P. (3-3); Elliott, J. (2-4); 6. Marin, R. (2-4); 7. Pacini, M (1-5).
Pool 2: 1. Masin, G. (5-1); 2. Beck, R. (4-2); 3. Scott, J. (4-2); 4. Daley, P. (3-3); 5. Yarrison, R. (2-4); 5. Guzenske, B. (2-4).

Pool 3: 1. Cantillon, FAM (5-1); 2. Johnson, W. (5-1); 3. Shelley, SFA (4-2); 4. MCMahan, SC (3-3); 5. Slota, T. (2-4); 6. Gonzalez, HAA (1-5); 7. Swick, SFA (1-5)

Pool 4: 1. Matheson, USA (6-0); 2. Ly (3-3); 3. Greenwald, USMP (3-3); 4 . (3-3); 5. Morgareidge, Nord (2-4); * Pool 5: 1. Pesty, P. (4-2); 1. Cox, R. (4) cher, J. (4-2); 4. Tichman, T. (3-3); berg, S . (2-4); 6. Kalfayan, A. (2-4) NYFC (1-5).
Pool 6: 1. Marx, R. (5-1); 2. Eggleton 3. Losey, G. (4-2); 4. Siegel, L. (2-4); A. (2-4); 6. Reith, W. (2-4); 7. Taus (0-6)

Quarter Final:
Pool 1: 1. Siegel, NYFC (3-2); 2. She (3-2); 3. Pesthy, NYAC (2-3); 4. Mathe (2-3); 5. Cotton, UN (2-3); 6. Lyo (1-4).
Pool 2: 1. Makler, SC (3-2); 2. Masin, N 3. COX, LAMC (3-2); 4. Greenwald, $u$ 5. Daley, NORD (1-4); 6. Scott, FAM Pool 3: 1. Eggieton, $U$ of $P(4-1) ; 2$. (4-1); 3. Cantillon, FAM (3-2); 4 . (2-3); 5. Schifrin, Gascon (1-4); 6 UN (1-4).
Pool 4: 1. Johnson, USA (4-1); 2. Me (3-2); 3 Glass ND (3-2). 4 Losey, 4 5. Marx, Auriol (2-3); 6. McMahan, SC
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Semi Final:
Pool 1: 1. Eggleton, U of $\mathrm{P}(3-1) ; 2$. Bozek, TCFC (3-1); 3. Glass, ND (3-2); 4. Cantilion, FAM $(12-4)$
Pool 2. 1. Makler, SC (4-1); 2. Masin, NYAC (4-1); 3. Pesthy, NYAC (3-2); 4. Seegel, NYFC (2-3); 5. Melcher, NYFC (1-4); 6. Johnson, USA (1-4).
Final: 1. Masin, NYAC (4-1); 2. Makler, SC (4-1); 3. Giass, $U$ of $N D$ (3-2); 4. Eggleton, $U$ of $P$ (2-3); 5. Pesthy, NYAC (1-4); 5. Bozek, TCFC (1-4).

## WOMENS FOIL

Pool 1. I Franke, Lib FC (5-0); 2. Lynch, NYFC (4-1); 3. Howard, NOFC (3-2); 4. Ichniyasu, S. Cove (2-3); 5. Goretta, Ohio St (1-4); 6. Brown, w. Chest ( $0-5$ ).

Pool 2: 1. Armstrong, SS (5-0); 2. Williams, Bkin (3-2); 3. Bosco, Lion (2-3); 4. Sussman, Ohio St. (2-3); 5. Barkduli, Pann (2-3); 6. Schuckers, Brock (1-4).
Pool 3: 1. Susel, CMAC (3-0); 2. OConnor, SS (2-1); 3. Turney, Pann (1-2); 4. Bedard, U of Mich. (0-3).
Pool 4: 1. Shamir, MYFC (4-1); 2. Bleamaster, Sdo Esg (3-2); 3. Gericke, Madi (3-2); 4. Dop erala, LISw (2-3); 5. Emery, SC (2-3); 6. Tre Ohio St. (1-4).
ool 5: 1. ODonnell, SS (4-0); 2. Valdiserri, Unat (3-1); 3. Kavanaugh, LAMC (2-2); 4. Classen, Jucson (1-3); 5. Lapeyre, Palasz (0.4). Mark
(3-1); 3. Gilltansky, SS (4-0); 2. Lomith, Basco (3-1); 3. Gillham, Madi $(2-2)$,
(1-3); 5. Piester, Brock $(0-4)$,
Pool 7: 1. D'Asaro, D Saro (5-0); 2. Bilodeau Richards (4-1); 3. Holmes, Unat ; 2. Bilodeau, wPC (2-3); 5. Clark, Go Sow (1-4); 6. Skaling Green ( $0-5$ ).
Pool 8: 1. Mitchell, West End (5-0); 2. Girard Chippa (4-1); 3. Bassinger, Pied (2-3); 4 Helber Chippa (4-1); 3. Bassinger, Pied (2-3); , Helbe Colo U. (2-3); 5. Ga
James, U of NO. (0-5).
Pool 9: 1. Starks, Bkiyn. (5-0); 2. Waples, Aurio (4-1); 3. Robertson, Ed Esg (3-2); 4. Faley Unat. (2-3); 5. Paviak, SC (1-4); 6. Ezegfu, NOFC (0-5).
Pool 10: 1. McCourt, Sd Esg (4-0); 2. Kowalewski M-C (2-2); 3. Klutke, FAM (2-2); 4. DeName. IFCS ( $1-3$ );
Pool 11: 1. Steele, LAAL (4-1); 2. Adrian, Unat (4-1); 3. Ingram, Lion (3-2); 4. Dilman, Fam (2-3); 5. Hendricks, SC (1-4); 6. Troester, Peyron (1-4).
Pool 12: 1. Flynn, SS $(4-0) ; 2$. Klinger, Unatt (2-2) 3 Harms 4 of Mich 2 . 2 2): 4 , Unatt 4 of Texas (2-2); 5. Till, Unatt (0-4)
Pool 13: 1. Posthumus, Cout. (5-0); 2. Szabunia, LISW (4-1); 3. Gallego, Tucson (3-2); 4. Evampff, WVU (2-3); 5. Nagorney, Kadar (1-4); 6. Brodkey, WFC (0-5).

Pool 14: 1. Latham, NYFC (5-0); 2. Cogswell, TCFC (4-1): 3. Littmann, Colo U (3-2); 4. Butterick Penn. (1-4); 5. Burke, Sp Hill (1-4); 6. Galloway, FAtla (1-4).
Pool 15: 1. Johnson, D Saro (5-0); 2. Cinotti, SS (3-2); 3. Blagowidow, Corn (3-2); 4. Lindberg, NWPK (2-3); 5. Sizer, Auriol (1-4); 6. Jackson, Nord (1-4).
Pool 16: 1. Smith, Sd Armes (4-0); 2. Ellingson, LAAC (3-1); 3. Donges, SS (2-2); 4. Haney, Nord (1-3); 5. Reynolds, Bosco (0-4).
Pool 17: 1. Grompone, SS (4-1); 2. Goldthwaite IFCS (3-2); 3. Estvanik, TCFC (2-3); 4. Rattereg NOFC ( $2-3$ ); 5. Offerle, GMU $(2-3) ; 6$. Brown, Auriol (2-3).
Pool 18: 1. Farkas, NYFC (4-1); 2. Orly, Pann (4-1); 3. Ching, Madi (3-2); 4. Chan, RFC (2-3) 5. Galfano, Akiba (1-4); 5. Popa, FAM (1-4).

Pool 19: 1. Massialas, FAM (4-1); 2. Annavedder, NYFC (4-1); 3. Keleher, Johns Hop (3-2); 4 Sizer, Auriol $(2-3) ; 5$. Reyes, NYU (2-3); 6 Battelini, Cumb (0-5).
Pool 20: 1. Walbridge, NYFC (5-0); 2. Foote, LAAC (3-2); 3. Marcy, Yale (3-2); 4. Bartuska, $U$ of $P$ (2-3); 5. Waples, Auriol (2-3); Hendrickson, Lagra (0-5).
Pool 21: 1. Debiase, LIB FC (4-1); 2. Keel, TCFC (4-1); 3. Sapulski, Lion \& SW (3-2); 4. Plocha, 0 of P (3-2); 5 . - 1 22: Hurley

Pool 22: 1. Hurley, D'Saro (5-0); 2. Moriates Unat. (4-1); 3. Davis, Unat. (3-2); 4. Kristo-Nagy, (0-5).
Pool 23: 1. Maskal, WPC (5-0); 2. Kolos, CMAC (3-2); 3. Fiatrarone, Stan (3-2); 4. Leigh, NYFC (2-3); 5. Collins, Unat. (1-4); 6. Miles, SC (1-4).
Pool 24: 1. Carter, Sd Armes (2-1); 2. Kayler, No Hunt (2-1); 3. Jacobs, Liberty (2-1); 4. Hoadley, Fairfield (0-3).

## Second Round:

Pool 1. 1 DeBiase LIB (4-1); 2. Kolos, CMAC (4-1) 3. Bleamaster, Sd Esg. (3-2); 4. Posthumus, Couturiek, (3-2); 5. Annavedder, NYFC (1-4); 6. Turney, Pann. (0-5).

Pool 2: 1. Latham, NYFC (4-1); 2. Momates, UN (3-2); 3. Goldthwaite, IFCS (3-2); 4. Bilodean, Richard (3-2); 5. Bosco, Sd Lion (2-3); 6. Howard, NOFC (0-5).
Pool 3: 1. Franke, Liberty (5-0); 2. Steele, LAAC (3-2); 3. Robertson, S. E s Grima (3-2); 4. Cogswell, C (2-3); 5. Basinger, D. (2-3); 5 . Sapulski, J. (0.5).

Pool 4: 1. Armstrong, SS (5-0); 2. Blagaridow, Cornell (3-2); 3. Estvanik, Tanner (3-2); 4. Keleher, J. Hopkins (2-3); 5. Szabunia, L.1 Sword (1-4); 6. Shamir, NYFC (1-4).
pool 5: 1. Williams, BC (4-1); Grompone
3. Hurley Sd Asare (3-2); 4. Massial (4-1); (2-3); 5. Marcy, Yale (2-3); 6. Harms, $U$ of $M$ (0-5).
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Pool 6: 1. McCourts, Sd Eschime (5-0); 2. Orly Pann. (4-1) 3. Girard, L. (2-3); 4. Klutke, FAM (2-3); 5. Littman, J. (2-3); 6. Cinotti, D. (0.5) 7: 1. Pechinsky, S\$ (5-0); 2. Farkas, NYF $(2-3) ; 5$ Kole, $\operatorname{LAAC}(2-3) ;$ 4. Fom, M. (1-4).
Pool 8: 1. Smith, Sd Armes (4-1); 2. Waples,
(4-1); 3. Ingrams, E (3-2); 4. Flynn, SS (3-2) 5. Jacobs, LIB (1-4); 6. Donges, SS (0-5).

Pool 9: 1. Johnson, S. (5-0); 2. Kavanavgh, $M$ (3); 3. Adran, MS (1-4); 6, . Susel, A. (3-2)
100) 1. D asero $G$ (4.1), 2 O Don
(3-2): 3. Lynch, J. (3-2): 4. Carter, C. (2-3); 5 Klinger, $A_{0}$ (2-3); 6. Gericke, 1. (1-4)
Pool 11: 1. O Connor, D. (5-0); 2. Maskal, 1. (3-2): 3. Kowalewski, J. (3-2); 4. Walbridge, P. (3-2); 5. Valdiserri, K. (1-4); 6. Ching, E. (O-5).

Pool 12: 1. Louie, C. (5-0); 2. Mitchell, M. (4-1)
3. Starks, J. (3-2); 4. Ellingson, J. (2-3); 5 Gallego, Y. (1-4); 6. Holmes, D. (0-5).

## Third Round:

Pool 1: 1. Pechinsky, S. (5-0); 2. Williams, A (3-2); 3. Lynch, J. (2-3); 4. Mitchell, M. (2-3) 5. Dowalewski, J. (2-3); 5. Girard, L. (1-4).

Pool 2: 1. Hurley, V. (4-1); 2. Bleamaster, V. (3-2) 3. Foote, S. (3-2); 4. Franke, N. (3-2); 5. Kolos E. (1-4); 6. Grompone, E. (1-4).

Pool 3: 1. McCourt, B. (4-1); 2. D asaro, G. (4-1) 3. Goldthwaite, L. (3-2): 4. Orly, E. (3-2); 5 . Blagowidow, N. (1-4); 6. Estvanik, E. (0.5).
Pool 4: 1. Waples, D. (5-0); 2. O Donnell, A.
(3-2); 3. Johnson, S. (3-2); 4. Ingram, E. (2-3)
5. Smith, V. (1-4); 6. Robertson, D. (1-4).

Pool 5: 1. O Connor, D. (5-0); 2. Stee; e. B. (4-1); 3. Maskal, 1. (2-3); 4. DeBiase, S. (2-3); 5 Latham, N. (1-4;) 6. Kavanaugh, M. (1-4).
3 Adrian, $M$ (3-2); 4 Armstrong $S$ (3-2); 5 Starks, J. (1-4): 6. Louie C (1-4)

## Quarter-Final

Pool 1: 1. D'asro, S Dasaro (4-1); 2. Pechinsky, SS (4-1); 3. Franke, Liberty (3-2); 4. Ingram, Sd Lion (2-3); 5. Goldthwaite, NTX (1-4); 6. Adrian, UN (1-4)
Pool 2: 1. McCourt, S. (4-1); 2. O'Connor, D. (3-2) 3. O'Donnelf, SS (3-2); 4. Johnson, S. (3-2); 5 DeBiase, LiB (2-3); 6. Foote, S. Cal (0-5).
pool 3: 1. Armstrong, S. (5-0); 2. Farkas, 1. (3-2); 5. Moriates, S. (2-3); 6. MitcheII, M. (1-4)

Pool 4: 1. Steele, B. (4-1); 2. Maskal, 1. (3-2); 3 . Orly, E. (3-2); 4. williams, A. (2-3); 5. Hurley V. (2-3); 6. Lynch, J. (1-4),

## Semi-Final:

Pool 1: 1. O'Donnell, SS (4-1); 2. Armstrong, SS (3-2); 3. McCourt, Sd Escrim (3-2); 4. Pechinsky, SS (2-3); 5. Waples, P. (2-3); 6. Maskal, 1 (1-4).
Pool 2: 1. D'Asaro, G. (4-1); 2. Franke, Lib. (4-1), 3. O'Connor, $55(3-2)$, 4 Farkas, FC (2-3); 5 Steele, LAAC (2-3); 6. Orly, E. (0-5).

Finals:

1. $\mathrm{O}^{\text {D Donnell, }} \mathrm{SS}(4-1)$; 2. Armstrong, SS (3-2) 3. Franke, Lib. (3-2); 4. MCCourt, E. (3-2);
2. D'Asaro, G. (2-3); 6. O'Connor, SS (0-5)

## SABRE TEAM

## First Round

Pool 1: 1. NY Fencers Club (Westbrook, Apostol, Dow, Kaplan) (3-0); 2. NOBA (Essig, Franger, (0.2): 4 (1) of Wisconsin, (Loyd Soch, Morea) Leggoe) (0-2).
Pool 2: 1. NYAC (Losonczy, Reilly, Lekach, Or ban) (3-0); 2. Wauwatosa, (Barcelo, Koser, Gilham) (2-1); 3. Salle D'Armes, (Terninko, Kan Mamlouk) (0-2); 4. Trinity
feld, Crowe Rucci) ( $0-2$ )
Pool 2: 1. New Orleans FC, (Hamori, Kalmar Johnsen, Trammell) (3-0); 2. Salle Csizar, (Mak ler, Hasyn, Maxwell, Fekete) (2-1); 3. Cumberland County Coll. (Gatier, Olivero, Barden) (0-2); 4. U. of North Car., (Weil, Keane, Strat) (0-2).
Pool 4: 1. AFRA, (Chisholm, Hamlin, ivey) (3-0); 2. Columbia U., (Smith, Astor, Camparo) (2-1); 3. HAAC, (Gonzalez, Kelly, Steiner) (0-2); 4. Secand Round: NYFC a Columbia, (5-2); Csiszar c. AFRA (54) NOFC a wauwatosa, (5-0) NYAC, d. NOBA, (5-0)
Fence-off for 3rd place: New Orleans FC d Salle Csiszar ( $5-1$ ).
First Place Match: NYFC d. NYAC (5-2).

## WOMEN'S TEAM

First Round:
Pool 1: 1. Salle Santelif, (O'Connor, O'Donnell, Pechinsky, Armstrong) (2.0); 2. Long sland Swordmer, (Lake, Dopierala, Szabunia) (1-1); 3. New Orleans FC, (Ratteree, Howard, Danna) (0-2).
Pool 2: 1. Salle D'Asaro, (Hurley, Johnson, D'Asaro) 2. F. Acad. of Mich., (Massialas, Klutke, Dillman) (1-1); 3. Johns Hopkins, (Keleher Murphy, Parpal) (0-2).
ool 3. Sala D'Esgrima, (McCourt, Bleamaster, Rober Smith) (1-0); 2. Salle D'Armes, (Saurer, Bartuska, Miles) (0-2).
Pool 4: 1 ,Liberty FC, (Jacobs, DeBiase, Vollkommer. Franke) (3-1): 2. Madison, FC, (Gillham, Girard, Gericke) (2-1); 3. Ramapo H.S., (Depken, Tavares, Anderson) (0-2); 4. Salle Csiszar, (Emery, Hendricks, Pavlak) (0-2).
Pool 5: I. LAAC, (Foote, Steele, Ellington) (3-0); 2. Tanner City FC, (Keel, Angelakis, Cogswell, Estvanik) (2-1); 3. Hungarian American AC, (Hayner, Laskay, Avimilleh) (0-2); 4. Colorado FC,
bor 1. Ny Fencers Club, (Leigh, Farkas, AnnBrown, Waples) (2-1); 3. Salle de Nord, (Haney, Baumgart, Jackson) (0-2); 4. Ohio State, (Tremaine, Sussman, Goretta) (0-2).

Pool 7: 1. Brooklyn Coll., (Williams, Starks, Doug(as) (3-0); 2. Connecticut Comp. (Ingram, Donges, Bosco) (2-1); 3. FCHRC, (Kristo-Nagy, Nagy, Majtenyi) (0.2); 4. Cumberland Comm. Coll., (Fisher, Battelini, Gagliardi) (0-2).
Pool o: Soleveland Susen) (3-0); 2. Pannonia, (Turnelewski, Barkdull (1-2); 3. Salle Bosco, (Smith, Reyoulds, Eiler) (1-2); 4. Brockport, (Schuckers, Brei, Piester) (1-2).
Direct Elimination Round of 16 :
Pool 1: Salle Santelli, (5-0); d. Fencing Academy of Michigan.
Pool 2: Cleveland Magyar AC, (4-3); d. Connecticut Composite.
Pool 3: Tanner City FC, (5-1); d. Fencers Club.
Pool 4: Sala D'Esgrima, (5-1) d. Madison FC.
Pool 5: Liberty FC, (5-2); d Salle D'Armes.
Pool 7: Salle Auriol, (5-4); d. LAAC.
Pool 8: Brooklyn College, (5-0); d. Pannonia
Pool 9: Salle D'Asaro, (5-0); d. Long isiand swordsmen.
Quarter Final Round of 8 :
Pool 1: Liberty, (5-1); d. Auriol
Pool 2: Salle Santelli, (5-1); d. CMAC
Pool 3: Sala Desgrima, (5-4); d. Tanner City.
Pool 4: Salle D'Asaro, (5-3): d. Brooklyn College. Semi-Final Round of 4
(5-3); Liberty, (3-5)
Pool 2: Sala D'Esgrima, (5-2); Salle Santelli, (2-5).

3rd Place Match:
Salle Santelli d. Liberty FC (5-2)
Salle D'Asaro d. Sala D'Esgrima (5-1

## FOIL TEAM

## First Round

Pool 1: Salle Santelli (Ballinger, Wrig Kahmi) d. U of Maryland (Wilford, Poyd) and HAAC (Famiano, Hay, Ja ales). $U$ of Md. d. HAAC

Pool 2: NOBA (Byrd, Ashley, Shamash Princeton (Schwarty, McKee, Petrti) (Ivy, Manley, Kilson, Booker); P AFRA.
Pool 3: NYFC (Cohen, Nonna, Siegal, F U. of Pennsylvania (Trichacek, Wolt and Washington FC (Weber, Kan, 0 $P \mathrm{~d}$. WFC
Pool 4: USMC (Freeman, Solecki, Donc Swordsmen (Brodeth, Fantini, Milliga and New Orleans FC (Compana, Dan Johnsen). Ll Swordsmen d. NCFC
Pool 5: Fencing Academy of Michigan Klutke, Benko, Massiales) d. Letterma omura, R. Nonomura, Dale) and Sal Bosco, Bennett, Keim Miles). Salle de Lion.
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Pool 6: Salle Richards (Aviles, Richards, Marmor) d. Salle Auriol (M. Marx, R. Marx, Funk DesHasyn). Salle Auriol d. Salle Ssiszar.
Pool 7: Salle de Nord (Wright, Morgareidge, Shaffer, Daley) d. North Ward ECC (Cetrulo, Boutsikaris, Franzi, Buro) and Tenn. Comp. (Turnley, Stone, Szathmary). North Ward d. Tenn. Comp.
Direct Elimination Round of 16 :
NYAC d. Princeton (5-0); FAM d. Salle Richards (5-3); NYFC d. North Ward (5-1); Salle Santelli d. U of P (5-0); NOBA d. LI Swords (5-2); de Nord (5-3); Wauwatosa d. U of Md. (5-0)
Quarter Final Round of 8 :
FÁM d. NYAC (5-2); Wauwatosa d. Salle Auriol (5-2); NOBA d. USMC (5-2); Salle Santelli d. NYFC (5-2).
Semi-Final Round of 4:
Wauwatosa d. NOBA (5-4); FAM d. Salle Santelli (5-4).
Third Flace Match:
Salle Santelli d. NOBA (5-1).
First Place Match:
Wauwatosa d. FAM (5-2)

## EPEE TEAM

First Round:
Pool 1: 1. NYAC, (Peters, Masin, Lynn) (2-0); 2. 4 of $P$ (Hauser, Eggleton, Michanik) (1-1); 3. NYTV, (Messins Lee Feldman) (0.2)
Pool 2: 1. US Modern Pent., (McCormick, Losey, Johnson) (2-0); 2. Hungarian Am. AC. (1-1). Pool 3: 1. NYFC (Reith, Belok, Melcher) ( $3-0$ ); 2. Salle Auriol, (Brown, Mike Marx, Robert Marx) (2-1); 3. DCFC, (Lyons, Wetzler, Hanson) (0-2); 4. Princeton, (Gasorek, McKee, Neale) (0-2).

Pool 4: 1. S. Csiszar, (Makler, McMahon, Wigoresky) (3-0); 2. Mori, (Elliott, Guzinski, Bororch) (2-0); 3. Salle Kadar, (Kiraly, Jamer, Nagorney) (1-2); 4. Fairtield City, (Moore, Gregorich, Meshako) (0-3)
Pool 5: 1. FAM, (Banks, Scott, Combillon) (3-0); 2. Salle De Nord, (Wright, Benge, Morgareidge) (2-1); 3. Salle Falasz, (Thomas, Sorinor, Hoppe) (1-2); 4. Rancocas Valley, (Flint, King, Holbrow)

> (0.3).

Pool 6: 1. Tanner City, (Weber, Yarison, Brochie) (3-0); 2. Rutgers, (Frenson, Washington, Mathis) (2-1); 3. Letterman, (Kalfayan, Cox, McKee) (0-2).
Quarter Finals:
Pool 1: USMP, (5-3) d. Auriot.
Pool 2: Tanner, (5-2) d. Sd, Nord
Pool 3: Csis7ar, (5-0) d. HAAC
Pool 4: U of P, (5-2) d. NYFC
Pool 5: NYAC, (5-2) d. Rutgers
Pool 6: FAM, (5-4) d. Mori
Final:
Semi-Final:
Pool 2 :USMP, (5-4) d. Tanner City

Pool 3: Csiszar, (5-3) d. U of P

1. NYAC, (10-8)
2. Salle Csiszar, (5-12)

## FOIL U-19

First Round:
oof 1: 1. Marx, Auriol (4-0); 2. Vastola, NYYV (3-1); 3. Manzano, City Coll of SF (1-3); 4 McNamara. Huntgton HS (1-3); 5. Llanes, Bar ringer (1-3).
Pool 2: 1. Klutke, FAM (3-0); 2. Nonomura, LAMC (1-2); 3. Roberts, T. Jefferson HS (1-2); 4 Harris, MWM (1-2).
Pool 3: 1. Koester, Rosemont (5-0); 2. Lanove, Jamaica HS (4-1); 3. Leach, Cornell (2-3); 4. 6. Johnson. Huntington (1-4)

Pool 4: 1. Wheadon, Tri-Weapon (3-0); 2. Gerard U of 1I. (2-1); 3. Newman, Kadar (1-2); 4. Daly Unat. (0-3).
Pool 5: 1. Veljacic, NYTV (3-1); 2. Thomas, LAAC (3-1); 3. Budofsky, Cornell (2-2); 4. Frannicola Essex Cath. (2-2); 5. McRae, Ocala Rec (0-4).
Second Round:
Pool 1: 1. Roberts, N.J. (3-1); 2. Vastola, Metro (2-2), 3. Manzano, N Cal (2-2); 4 Gerard, of III (2-2); 5. Koester, III (1-3).
Pool 2: 1. Marx, Ore. (3-1); 2 .Newman, N. Ohio (3-1) ; 3. Budofsky, WNY (2-2); 4. Thomas, S. Cal (2-2); 5. McNamara, Li (0-4).
Pool 3: 1. Nonomura, N. Cal (3-1); 2. Klutke, Mich. (3-1); 3. Velpacic, Metro (2-2); 4. Harris W. Pa (1-3); 5. Frannicola, N.J. (1-3).

Pool 4: 1. Leach, W. NY (3-1); 2. Wheaden, Md (3-1); 3. Lanouei, Metro (2-2); 4. Mooney, So Cal. $(2-2)$; 5. Daly, LI (0-4).

Semi-Finals:
Pool 1: 1. Veljacic, Metro (4-1); 2. Leach, WNY (3-2); 3. Vastola, Metro (3-2); 4. Nonomura, N Cal. (3-2); 5. Wheadon, Md. (2-3); 6. Mewman, N. Ohio (0-5).

Pool 2: 1. Marx, Oreg. (4-1); 2. Roberts, N.J. (3-2) 3. Manzano, N. Cal (2-3); 4. Lanove, Metro (2-3); 5. Klutke, Mich. (2-3); 6. Budosky, W NY (2-3).
Final:

1. Marx, Ore. (5-0); 2. Velpacic, Metro (4-1); 3. Roberts, N.J. (2-3); 4. Leach, W. NY (2-3); 5. Manzano, N. Cal (1-4); 6. Vastola, Metro (1-4)

## EPEE U-19

First Round:
Pool 1: 1. Marx, Auriol (4-0); 2. Zaleski, Nov. Tr (2-2); 3. Leach, Cornell (2-2); 4: Prickett, USMPJ (1-3); 5, Dill, Saltus (1-3),
Pool 2: 1. Hanson, U of P (4-0); 2. Rees, Soy (2-2); 3. Risch, UN (2-2); 4. Mitchell, Auriol (1-3); 5. Field, USMP (1-3).

Pool 3: 1. Wheadon, Tri w (4-0); 2. Schifrin, Gasc (3-1); 3. Williams, Roy L (2-2); 4. Watts, St Lou (1-3); 5. Kells, Ocala (0-4),

Pool 4: 1. Dragonetti, $U$ of $F(4-0)$; 2. Dinces Whit (2-2); 3. Tak Chun Ong, St. John (2-2);
Socha, $U$ of Wis $(1-3)$; 5. Brown, Auriof $(0-4)$ Socha, 5 of wis (1-3), BM (31); 3 Panes, Auriol (2-2); 4. McKenna Conms (1-3): 5. Wiener, EkIn (0-4).
Second Round:
Pool 1: 1. Dragonetti, U of P (4-0); 2. Watts, St
Louis (2-2); 3. Socha, $U$ of Mis (2-2); 4. Ranes SA (1.3); 5. Williams, RL (1-3).
Pool 2: 1. Hanson, $U$ of $P(3-1) ; 2$. Mitchell, $S$ (2-2); 3. Zaleski, NTE (2-2); 4. Tak Chon Ong St. John (2-2); 5. Beres, USMP (1-3).
Pool 3: 1. Schifrin, $S$ Goscon (4-0); 2. Marx, Auriol (3-1); 3. Risch, Chatt (2-2); 4. Dince Wh. Tra ( $1-3$ ); 5. MoK (31) 2 , Whe
(3.1) 3 Leach Cornell (3-1); 4. Rees, Soy (1-3) 5. Prickett, USMP (0-4).

Third Round:
Pool 1: 1. Schifrin, S. Gas. (5-0); 2. Hanson, U of P (4-1); 3. Wheaden, TWFC (3-2); 4. Watts, St SA (1-4).
Pool 2: 1. Dragonetti, $U$ of $P(5-0)$; 2. MarX, S (4-1); 3. Leach, Cornell (3-2); 4. Socha, U Wis (2-3); 5. Gásorek, Prin (1-4); 6. Zaleski, N TW (0-5).
Final

1. Schifrin, Salle Gascon (5-0); 2. Marx, Salle Auriol (3-2); 3. Dragonetti, $U$ of $P(3-2) ; 4$ (2-3); 6. Leach, Cornell (0-5).

## WOMENS FOIL U-19

first Round:
Pool 1: 1. Bilodeau, Rich (5-0); 2. Sizer, Aurio (4-1); 3. Harms, U of Mich. (2-3); 4. Komoski, No Hunt (2-3); 5. Turkei, SS (2-3); 6. McRae, Ocala (0-5).
Pool 2: 1. Angelakis, TCFC (5-0); 2. Lieberman, Pdince (4-1); 3. Kristo-Nagy, FCHRC (3-2); 4 Girard, Chipper (2-3); 5. Sizer, Auriol (1-4); 6. Powers, Ocala (0-5).
Pool 3: 1. Massiala, wich (5-0); 2. Ellingson S Cal. (4-1); 3. Peck, WNY (3-2); 4. Faley, III (2-3); 5. Vaic, N.J. (1-4); 6. McClellan, NE
(0-5).
Pool 4: 1. Foote, S. Cal (4-1); 2. D'Ambola, N 1 (4-1): 3 Sobel NJ (3-2); 4. Toro, 4 (2-3); 5 Cogswell, NE (1-4); 6 . Valsamis, Met (1-4).
Second Round:
Pool 1: 1. Foote, LAAC (4-1); 2. Bilodeau, SR (3-2); 3. Ellingson, J. (3-2); 4. Kristo-Nagy FCHRC (3-2); 5. Lieberman, Met (2-3); 6. Harms $U$ Mich (0-5).
Pool 2: 1. Angelakes, TCFC (5-0); 2. Sobel, Win Pat (3-2); 3. Massiala, FAM (3-2); 4. Sizer, R (2-3); 5. D'Ambola, Newark (2-3); 6. Peck, U Buff (0.5)
inals

1. Ellingson, J. (4-1); 2. Sobel, L. (3-2); 3 Bilodeau, B. (3-2); 4. Angelakis, 1. (2-3); 5 Foote, S. (2-3); 6. Massiala, C. (1-4).

## First Round

Pool 1: 1. Sulivan , No Dame (4-0); Concol C (2-2); 3. Butan, $U$ of Heck, Clemsen (1-3); 5. Friodir, LAA
Pool 2: 1. Thomas, LAAC (4-0); 2. (3-1); 3. Brand, MIT (2-2); 4. An
Pool ;. Mercado, UNGL (0C $(5-0)$; Pool 3: 1. Renshaw, NYAC (5-0); 2
Rise (4-1); 3. Cypel, NYTU (3-2); 4 FAM (2-3); 5. Marotta, Pace (1-4); 6 Cov. (0-5).
Pool 4: 1. Cawley, K. (5-0); 2. Valla (3-2); 3. Crowe, Trinity (3-2); 4. Biere 5. Robinson, So Oky (1-4); 6. Fernina (1-4).
Semi-Finals:
Pool 1: 1. Sullivan, N.D. (5-0); 2. Re (4-1); 3. Brand, A. $(2-3) ; 4$. Valario 5. Koester, K. (2-3); 6. Crowe, K. 10 Pool 2: 1. Cawley, K. (4-1); 2. Thomas 3utan J. (3-2); 4. Weil, R. (2-3); 5 (1-4); 6. Parish, T. (1-4)

Finals:

1. Renshaw, S. (4-1); 2. Sullivan, $M$ Brand, A. (2-3); 4. Butan, J. (2-3); 5. (2-3); 6. Cawley, K. (1-4)
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COMPETITIONS: Coach's Report, Geraci (1/5); Heroes Memorial, Buchner (6/16); Montreal Pre Olympics, Gradkowski (1/25); Mid Atiantic Sectional, Oles (6/10); Michel Alaux Grand Open, Masiero (3/18); Mid West Sectionals, Edwards (6/14); NIWFA Xmas (3/22); NIWFA, Lare (5/30); NE Intercollegiates, Williams (5/32); Pentathlon Open, Nelson (4/3); Pan Am Scores, Keane (4/30); Pan Am Fencing Results, Sobel (2/6); Pacific Coast Sectionals, Brown (5/11); Southeast Sectionals, Alexander ( $6 / 11$ ); Third Annal Mardi Gras, Hamori (5/19); 15th Annual N.J. Interscholastics, Bernstein (3/32); 25th Annual MACFA, Schron (5/24); 25th N.A. Intercollegiates, (3/20); 1975 World Championships, Gradkowski (1/3); 1975 Pentathlon Nationals, Nelson $(1 / 5)$; 1975 Heiden heim Epee, Masin (1/22); 1976 World Junior Championships, Gradkowski (5/4); 1976 Martini \& Rossi, Keane (5/22); 1976 Olympic Capsule, Gradkowski (6/3); 1976 Junior Olympics, Rees (6/17); 1976 National Championships, Latzko (6/20).
PERSONALITIES: Alpar Retires (1/24); Irwin Bernstein (5/9); Miguel de Capriles Siver Olympic Order, Sobel ( $1 / 32$ ); Raymond $W$. Dutcher (2/8); Tribute to a Great Fencing Master Lucia, Sobel (5/10); South Texas Honors Paujardieu, Nelson (1/9); Sam Rubenstein (4/14).

ARTICLES: AFLA Rules Commission Develops Fencing Officials, Gradkowski (3/4); AFLA Library, Solomon (5/3); Annual Meeting, Bernstein (6/4); Athletic Duelling, Gradkowski (6/14); Book Reviews, Goldstein (4/7); Book Review, Gradkowski (1/13); Cassandra, Lucia (4/28); Fencing in the 1/13); Cassandra, Lucia (4/28); Fencing in the Media, Masiero (1/18); Fencing Clinic, Oles ( $1 / 25$ ) Greatest Names in Olympic Fencing, Miguel de Caprie (3/2), (2/13), institute for Sot (2): infracte for Sport Medecine, Gradkowski (2/5); Infractions and Their enalties (4/10); Met. Division to Support Ther
 Classification Systom, Bernstein (4,8); NYC Abandons High School Fencing, Peredo (2/3); National Superiority, Why, Geraci ( $2 / 10$ ); Official Report, Keane (3/6); Officiating, Mercier (1/8); Phys ological and Psychological Considerations, White (2/16); Practical Approach to Olympic Training in a Free Society, Soble (2/20); Publicity, McNult (3/14); Simuttaneous Attacks (1/14); Safety Glass es, Sobel (3/18); Standard Foils in Maryland Bayor (6/5); Selecting an Olympic Team, Miller 4/6); Toumament Circuits, Lane (3/28).
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